

Alan Uzelac *Editor*

Goals of Civil Justice and Civil Procedure in Contemporary Judicial Systems

Goals of Civil Justice and Civil Procedure in Contemporary Judicial Systems

IUS GENTIUM

COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVES ON LAW AND JUSTICE

VOLUME 34

Series Editors

Mortimer Sellers

University of Baltimore

James Maxeiner

University of Baltimore

Board of Editors

Myroslava Antonovych, *Kyiv-Mohyla Academy*

Nadia de Araújo, *Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro*

Jasna Bakšić-Muftić, *University of Sarajevo*

David L. Carey Miller, *University of Aberdeen*

Loussia P. Musse Félix, *University of Brasilia*

Emanuel Gross, *University of Haifa*

James E. Hickey, Jr., *Hofstra University*

Jan Klabbers, *University of Helsinki*

Cláudia Lima Marques, *Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul*

Aniceto Masferrer, *University of Valencia*

Eric Millard, *West Paris University*

Gabriël Moens, *Curtin University*

Raul C. Pangalangan, *University of the Philippines*

Ricardo Leite Pinto, *Lusitana University of Lisbon*

Mizanur Rahman, *University of Dhaka*

Keita Sato, *Chuo University*

Poonam Saxena, *University of Delhi*

Gerry Simpson, *London School of Economics*

Eduard Somers, *University of Ghent*

Xinqiang Sun, *Shandong University*

Tadeusz Tomaszewski, *Warsaw University*

Jaap de Zwaan, *Erasmus University Rotterdam*

For further volumes:

<http://www.springer.com/series/7888>

Alan Uzelac
Editor

Goals of Civil Justice and Civil Procedure in Contemporary Judicial Systems

 Springer

Editor
Alan Uzelac
Department for Civil Procedure
Faculty of Law
University of Zagreb
Croatia

ISSN 1534-6781 ISSN 2214-9902 (electronic)
ISBN 978-3-319-03442-3 ISBN 978-3-319-03443-0 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-03443-0
Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014930010

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2014

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Preface

Comparative research on civil procedure usually starts with the presupposition that the key notions of the discipline such as ‘procedure’, ‘court’ and ‘civil justice’ are generally similar and comparable. What is different, and what can be compared, are the technical elements, such as the rights and duties of the main actors in the process, the effects of their procedural activities and the legal institutions which define them. In a globalising world, one can expect convergence and harmonisation, simply because of the more intense communications and general effects of the globalisation of the economy. But contemporary development of national systems of civil justice demonstrates that simple explanations and solutions do not work. The reason why national judiciaries continue to show persistence in opposing the harmonisation and unification processes, so that even the fundamental notions of procedure like *res iudicata* or ‘fair trial’ are understood and accepted in a dramatically different way, lies beneath the surface: it is in the different fundamental attitudes regarding the goals and aims of civil procedure and the civil justice system in general.

Recognising the importance of the topic, the International Association of Procedural Law (IAPL) decided to devote a part of the 2012 Moscow Conference to the topic Goals of Civil Justice. Two main questions that had to be addressed were How do the goals of civil procedure differ from country to country? and What is the role of civil justice in the contemporary world? The following chapters are mainly derived from the reports presented at this conference. For the purpose of publication in this book they have been thoroughly revised, extended and updated to reflect the situation in September 2013. The ten conference contributions are expanded by an additional text, which fitted neatly the profile of this book and was based on a report from a separate conference held in Vilnius.

I hope that the readers will find that this book is much more than a mechanical collection of national reports which were summarised in one general paper. The intention of the editor was not to cover all jurisdictions, but to find excellent writers who are at the same time knowledgeable experts in comparative law, and motivate them to produce inspired papers that, when read together, cover a representative selection of all major legal traditions and systems. A journey through the chapters of this book reveals a great number of fundamental dilemmas that determine

contemporary development of civil justice systems and shed a different light on the judicial reforms that happen around the globe. In the mosaic of contrasts and oppositions, special place is devoted to the continuing battle between the individualistic/liberal approach, and the collectivist/paternalistic approach (the battle in which, seemingly, paternalistic tendencies regain momentum in a number of justice systems). But other topical issues are discussed as well, like the attempts to ensure effective but still fair and accurate adjudication, differences between ‘bureaucratic’ judiciaries that process large numbers of routine cases, and ‘policy-making’ judiciaries that shape important decisions in representative or collective litigations that affect social and economic policies, as well as the pressures to reduce the expenses of justice systems, and demands to make them chiefly responsible to their users.

My gratitude goes to all contributors to this volume who showed a remarkable patience when dealing with my continuing requests to improve, update and clarify their contributions. I am in particular debt to Randolph W. Davidson who – once again – did a remarkable job improving and fine-tuning the language of this book, and to my research assistant Marko Bratković who provided valuable technical assistance in revising and formatting the contributions.

Zagreb, Croatia
September 2013

Alan Uzelac

Contents

Part I General Synthesis

- 1 Goals of Civil Justice and Civil Procedure in the Contemporary World** 3
Alan Uzelac

Part II National Perspectives

- 2 Civil Justice in Austrian-German Tradition** 35
Christian Koller
- 3 Civil Justice in Pursuit of Efficiency** 61
C.H. (Remco) van Rhee
- 4 Goals of Civil Justice When Nothing Works: The Case of Italy** 79
Elisabetta Silvestri
- 5 Goals of Civil Justice in Norway: Readiness for a Pragmatic Reform** 105
Inge Lorange Backer
- 6 ‘American Exceptionalism’ in Goals for Civil Litigation** 123
Richard Marcus
- 7 Civil Justice with Multiple Objectives** 143
Peter C.H. Chan and David Chan
- 8 Social Harmony at the Cost of Trust Crisis: Goals of Civil Justice in China** 167
Fu Yulin

9 Civil Litigation in Russia: ‘Guided Justice’ and Revival of Public Interest	183
Dmitry Heroldovich Nokhrin	
10 Battle Between Individual Rights and Public Interest in Hungarian Civil Procedure	205
Miklós Kengyel and Gergely Czoboly	
11 (In)compatibility of Procedural Preclusions with the Goals of Civil Justice: An Ongoing Debate in Slovenia	221
Aleš Galič	
12 Judicial Activism as Goals Setting – Civil Justice in Brazil	245
Teresa Arruda Alvim Wambier	
About the Authors	261

Contributors

Inge Lorange Backer Department of Public and International Law, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

David Chan Barrister-at-law, Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

Peter C.H. Chan School of Law, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

Gergely Czoboly Faculty of Law, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

Fu Yulin School of Law, Peking University, Haidian District, Beijing, China

Aleš Galič Department of Civil Procedure, Faculty of Law, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Miklós Kengyel Faculty of Law, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

Christian Koller Department of Civil Procedure, Faculty of Law, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Richard Marcus Hastings College of Law, San Francisco, CA, USA

Dmitry Heroldovich Nokhrin The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation, Saint Petersburg, Russia

Elisabetta Silvestri Dipartimento di Giurisprudenza, Università degli Studi di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

Alan Uzelac Faculty of Law, Zagreb University, Zagreb, Croatia

C.H. (Remco) van Rhee Faculty of Law, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

Teresa Arruda Alvim Wambier Catholic University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Part I
General Synthesis

Chapter 1

Goals of Civil Justice and Civil Procedure in the Contemporary World

Global Developments – Towards Harmonisation (and Back)

Alan Uzelac

Abstract Some of the most thrilling topics of civil procedure are those that revisit its very roots. What are the goals of civil justice? This question seems to be simple only on the surface, viewed from the closed perspective of national law and jurisprudence. However, the moment when we embark on a comparative journey, the adventure starts. How do the goals of civil justice differ from country to country? Are they compatible? Is it possible at all to speak of the universal tasks of civil justice in the contemporary world? And, if not, are we making a mistake when we consider that ‘judges’ and ‘courts’ have the same meaning and same importance in all cultures? In this chapter, the author presents a synthetic study on these issues, based on the reports that present a particular approach to the goals of civil justice and civil procedure from the angle of a representative set of different contemporary legal traditions and systems.

1.1 Introduction

What is the goal of courts and judges in civil matters in the contemporary world? It would be easy to state the obvious and repeat that in all justice systems of the world the role of civil justice is to apply the applicable substantive law to the established facts in an impartial manner, and pronounce fair and accurate judgments. The devil is, as always, in the details. What is the perception of an American judge about his or her social role and function, and does it correspond to the perception of the judge in the People’s Republic of China? What are the prevailing opinions on the goals of civil justice in doctrine and case law of Russia and Brazil? Do courts in

A. Uzelac (✉)
Faculty of Law, Zagreb University, Trg m. Tita 14, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia
e-mail: auzelac@pravo.hr