

CROATIA

*Professor Alan Uzelac**

including

ANNEX I: Law on Arbitration

ANNEX II: Law on Conciliation (2003, as amended in 2009)

Chapter I. Introduction

1. LAW ON ARBITRATION

When the Republic of Croatia gained its independence in 1991, its law on arbitration was rooted in the federal law of the former Yugoslav federation. Most provisions on arbitration of the former federal laws were contained in two acts: the Code of Civil Procedure and the Conflict of Laws Act. In Croatia both acts were adopted as national legislation and remained in force throughout the 1990s, more or less unchanged. However, the preparation of a comprehensive reform that lasted over five years started in the early 1990s, resulting in a major change both in provisions regulating arbitration and in the approach to out-of-court methods of dispute settlement.

Since 2001, Croatian arbitration law has been contained in a single act – the Law on Arbitration (**Annex I**). The new act, devoted exclusively to arbitration, abandoned the previous legal dualism of two acts that regulated arbitration as only a marginal matter. It was adopted by the *Sabor* (Croatian Parliament) on 28 September, and came into effect on 19 October 2001.¹

Compared with the previous law, the Law on Arbitration (Law or LA) reflects a significant attitude shift. Despite the fact that Yugoslav law was considerably more tolerant towards arbitration than other socialist countries (e.g., allowing arbitration in domestic disputes), it was still largely restrictive. It viewed arbitration from the perspective of state paternalism, treating it only as a second-rate mechanism of dispute resolution. The ambiguous relationship of legislators to suspect “private justice”, reflected earlier in several areas of previous

* Prof. dr. sc., Professor and Chair for Civil Procedure at the Faculty of Law, University of Zagreb; Secretary General of the Permanent Arbitration Court at the Croatian Chamber of Commerce (1992-2002). The author thanks Mr. Tomislav Nagy, LL.M., for his assistance in the research and writing of this report.

1. The Law on Arbitration was published in *Narodne novine* (Official Gazette or Off. Gaz.) 88/2001 of 11 October 2001.

CROATIA

legislation, has in the new Law on Arbitration been removed or very significantly reduced. The new Law attempted to create an “arbitration-friendly” environment that would stimulate, not only tolerate, arbitration.

By enacting a single body of norms on arbitration in a single act that transparently deals with all issues of arbitration, the Law on Arbitration also departed from the earlier tradition of the 1895 Austrian *Zivilprozessordnung*, which still strongly influences national civil procedure legislation. Instead of relying on any particular regional or national arbitration law, the Law on Arbitration largely follows the text and approach of the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985 version).

The UNCITRAL Secretariat closely followed and commented on the reform process and recognizes the new Law as one of the acts based on the Model Law. However, some provisions of the new Law were also influenced by changes in national legislation of other countries, especially those that also attempted to implement the Model Law (in particular, Germany and, to a lesser extent, England). A few provisions from the old legislation have been retained as a part of the domestic tradition and legal culture (e.g., the definition of national and international disputes and the exclusion of judges as party-appointed arbitrators). In certain areas, the Law on Arbitration anticipated new developments in UNCITRAL aimed at clarifying and improving some model norms (e.g., the form of the arbitration agreement).² However, as the Law on Arbitration was enacted five years prior to the 2006 amendments of the UNCITRAL Model Law, while it is similar in intent and spirit to the latter, the text and formulation of the two acts is partly different. In any case, the practical differences between the national law and the amended UNCITRAL Model Law are not significant, so no urgent need to change the law on that account is experienced in Croatia.

The application of the Law since 2001 has assisted in promoting arbitration. It has also pointed to some areas of potential further improvement, e.g., with respect to possibly further reducing court intervention in arbitration matters. Still, no plans for imminent change are pending.

Unlike the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration, the Croatian Law on Arbitration is applicable to both national (domestic) and international arbitration. It is also applicable to non-commercial disputes, i.e., to all disputes regarding rights of which the parties may freely dispose (see Art. 3(1)). The legal definition of international and national disputes is different from the UNCITRAL concept, and follows the unique principle of the

2. See more *infra*, in II.1.b. For the legislative history of the Law on Arbitration see several reports by Prof. Siniša Triva, who was the main author of its draft versions. TRIVA, “Adoption of the UNCITRAL Model Law into Croatian Arbitration Law”, 2 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1995) p. 7; TRIVA, “Reports on the Achievements of the Working Group for the Reform of the Croatian Arbitration Law”, 4 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1997) p. 193; TRIVA, “Final Proposal of the New Croatian Arbitration Law”, 5 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1998) p. 9; TRIVA, “Croatian Law on Arbitration”, 9 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (2002) p. 107.

CROATIA

seat/residence of the parties, customary in continental Europe.³ The treatment of national and international disputes is almost completely identical (including the free choice of foreign law and foreign arbitrators), with the only notable difference that in national disputes (i.e., disputes without an international character) arbitral agreements on a seat of arbitration outside Croatia are not permitted (based on a reading of Art. 3(1) and (2)).⁴ In such a way, in “pure” national disputes the option of universal control in the form of the setting aside action has been reserved for the national courts.

The scope of the Law on Arbitration does not, however, include other means of dispute settlement, such as Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR). In recent times, there has been increased interest in particular in commercial conciliation, as well as in possible court-annexed conciliation programs. In 2003 the Parliament adopted a new Law on Conciliation (with amendments in 2009, see **Annex II**),⁵ based partly on the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Conciliation (see *infra*, at Chapter VIII).

The provisions of the Law on Arbitration are mainly of a dispositive nature, except for the rules on court proceedings and court intervention, from which the parties generally cannot derogate by agreement. The freedom of the parties to agree on arbitration and arbitration procedure is only limited in a few respects. First, some limitations arise out of the postulate of procedural equality and the right to fair treatment of parties. As a result, the parties cannot derogate from the provisions of Art. 17 (equal treatment of parties), the rules on independence and impartiality of arbitrators (Art. 12(1)-(3)) and on the party’s right to be notified about the hearings and to have the documents and pleadings of the other party communicated to it (Art. 23(3)-(4)). The rule prohibiting acting judges to be party-appointed arbitrators is also *ius cogens* (Art. 10(2)), as well as the rules on the form and validity of the arbitration agreement (Art. 6) and on the written form and the composition of the award (Art. 30(2)-(5)). The parties also cannot exclude rules on the challenge of the award and generally cannot change the grounds for setting aside (Art. 36). Finally, the rules on arbitrability are also of a mandatory nature, as well as the rule that prohibits arbitral agreements on foreign arbitration in domestic disputes (Art. 3).

3. International disputes (i.e., disputes with international character) are defined as disputes in which at least one party is a natural person with permanent or habitual residence abroad, or a legal person established under foreign law (Art. 2(1) p. 7).

4. See *infra*, Chapter II.3.

5. The Law on Conciliation was published in *Narodne novine* (Official Gazette) 163/2003 of 16 October 2003, and its amendments in Official Gazette 79/2009 of 8 July 2009.

2. PRACTICE OF ARBITRATION

a. History

The tradition of arbitration in Croatia is long, although its practice was suppressed or reduced at certain stages in history. The use of arbitration was significant in the nineteenth century. In 1852, the Croatian Chamber of Commerce had an arbitration court that ruled primarily in smaller commercial and merchant disputes. In the first decades of the twentieth century, this court had several hundred new arbitration cases annually, primarily relating to disputes between Chamber members.⁶

After World War II, the first years of the socialist regime brought a temporary discontinuation of arbitration practice. Following Soviet patterns, private dispute resolution mechanisms were abolished, and the name “arbitration” referred to a state tribunal for commercial disputes involving socialist enterprises.⁷ However, this period didn’t last as long as in other Eastern Block countries. Due to political differences between the Yugoslav Communist Party and Stalin’s Soviet Union, both in Yugoslavia and in Croatia as its constituent part, Soviet influence started to fade in the 1960s. Soviet-type “arbitration” courts were transformed into commercial courts and courts of general jurisdiction. A mild economic liberalization introduced by the doctrine of Yugoslav self-management made not only arbitration with a “foreign element” possible, but also arbitration among domestic economic players (relatively autonomous “socially owned” state enterprises). Starting in the 1950s, Yugoslavia pursued the policy of the non-aligned countries. The country therefore never became a member of the Moscow Convention. Even in the socialist period, arbitration was generally perceived as a voluntary method of dispute settlement, based on the parties’ agreement. At certain times during this period there was a significant number of arbitration cases involving Croatian parties – not only at the national institution of international arbitration, but also under the auspices of foreign arbitral institutions, particularly the ICC Court of International Arbitration.⁸

On the other hand, practice of arbitration in the country was, until the 1990s, confined within the narrow borders of institutions that were licensed to conduct it. For international arbitration, the only institution in Yugoslavia was the Foreign

6. See more in DIKA, “Arbitral Settlement of Disputes According to the 1852 Provisional Civil Procedure Code”, 5 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1998) pp. 187-206.

7. *Glavna državna arbitraža pri Vladi FNRJ* [The Main State Arbitration Tribunal at the Government of the FPR Yugoslavia] was the highest court for commercial matters in socialist Yugoslavia for a period of several years after 1953 (competent, inter alia, to enact trade usages). See ZUGLIA, “*Rješavanje imovinskih sporova putem državne arbitraže*” [Resolution of Property Disputes by State Arbitration Tribunal] *Zbornik Pravnog fakulteta u Zagrebu* (1948) pp. 293-331.

8. See VERBIST, “The Arbitration of the ICC in Former Yugoslavia and the New Republics that Emerged from It”, 1 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1994) p. 137, stating, inter alia, that in 1991 (at the beginning of the dissolution of the Yugoslav federation) there were twenty-three cases lodged before the ICC that involved parties from ex-Yugoslavia.

CROATIA

Trade Arbitration Court (FTAC) in Belgrade. For domestic arbitration, these were the courts of arbitration established at the chambers of commerce (economy) of the Yugoslav republics.⁹

b. Arbitral institutes

Today, the practice of arbitration is gradually evolving. Although the war in the early 1990s was not favourable for arbitration, a substantial number of cases originated even in the war years.¹⁰ Since declaring independence from the former Yugoslav federation, practice of both domestic and international arbitration continued at the Permanent Arbitration Court at the Croatian Chamber of Commerce (PAC-CCC), which prior to 1991 had only handled domestic cases. From the start of the war, arbitration clauses providing for arbitration at Belgrade's FTAC were considered null and void in Croatia.¹¹ Thus, the PAC-CCC became the most important local arbitration institute in Croatia. This was assisted by the fact that, until the enactment of the Law on Arbitration in 2001, it also had a legal monopoly on domestic arbitration. Therefore, other arbitration institutes in Croatia did not exist, although the opportunity to establish arbitral institutions as entities of private law that was opened by the Law on Arbitration brought about increased interest in establishing new dispute resolution facilities. The first cases of *ad hoc* arbitration¹² also started to occur.

However, to assess arbitration practice in Croatia, PAC-CCC statistics may still be most relevant. The Table below shows the data for the arbitration cases of the PAC-CCC in the 1992-2008 period. In spite of a relatively modest number of cases (thirty to forty annually), the data demonstrate that – particularly after 2000 – the aggregate amount in dispute became fairly significant. The cases submitted to arbitration were quite diverse, from simple sales contracts to complex construction disputes and disputes relating to the process of privatization of state property. The range of foreign parties was also very diverse, including parties from about thirty countries, with prevailing participation of Croatia's principal trade partners (Italy, Germany, Austria and the post-Yugoslav countries and territories).

9. Although *ad hoc* arbitration was allowed in international commercial cases (while prohibited in the national ones), the practice was almost entirely confined to institutional arbitration.

10. See, e.g., the statistical data of the PAC-CCC presented *infra*.

11. Regarding the status of arbitral clauses indicating ex-Yugoslav arbitral institutions on "hostile territory" in Croatia and abroad. See BAJONS, "Der Einfluß der geänderten Staatsverhältnisse auf völkerrechtliche Übereinkommen und private Schiedsvereinbarungen" [The impact of changed state relations on international law treaties and private arbitration agreements], 1 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1994) pp. 145-155 and UZELAC, "Succession of Arbitral Institutions", 3 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1996) pp. 71-89.

12. *Ad hoc* arbitration became legally fully permissible – especially in domestic cases – only after the coming into force of the Law on Arbitration. See also fn. 6, *supra*.

CROATIA

YEAR	DOMESTIC	INTERNATIONAL	TOTAL
1992	8	7	15
1993	14	12	26
1994	21	13	34
1995	8	10	18
1996	15	12	27
1997	14	16	30
1998	21	8	29
1999	22	14	36
2000	9	25	34
2001	37	10	47
2002	22	16	38
2003	23	5	28
2004	20	7	27
2005	16	10	26
2006	25	10	35
2007	23	9	32
2008	45	8	53
Total	343	192	535

The contact details of the PAC-CCC are as follows:

Permanent Arbitration Court
 Croatian Chamber of Commerce
(Stalno izbrano sudište pri Hrvatskoj gospodarskoj komori)
 Rooseveltov trg 2
 PO Box 630
 HR-10 000 Zagreb, Croatia
 Telephone: +385 1 4848-622, + 385 1 4848-623
 Facsimile: +385 1 4848-625
 E-mail: sudiste@hgk.hr
 Website: <www.hgk.hr>

The latest revision of the arbitration rules of the PAC-CCC came into force on 25 December 2002. This version of the rules, also known as the Zagreb Rules, replaced previous double standards by enacting a single set of rules for both domestic and international arbitration. Generally, the Zagreb Rules would have to be available in Croatian, English, French, German and Italian (currently, only Croatian and English sets are available; new translations in other languages are still pending).¹³

13. An English translation of the Zagreb Rules of 2002 can be found on the website of the Supreme Court of Croatia at <www.vsrh.hr> under "Legislation" in the English version of the website.

CROATIA

3. BIBLIOGRAPHY

This bibliography is a selection of relevant publications on arbitration and conciliation, generally including only those dating after the new legislation came into effect (i.e., only articles published after 2001 have been referenced).¹⁴

a. Books and reports

Bilić, V.

Alternativno rješavanje sporova i parnični postupak: doktorska disertacija [Alternative Dispute Resolution and the Court Litigation Proceedings, dissertation] (Faculty of Law, Zagreb 2008) 267 pages (in Croatian)

Goldštajn, A. and Triva, S.

Međunarodna trgovačka arbitraža [International Commercial Arbitration] (Zagreb 1987) (in Croatian)

Sikirić, H.

Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit in Kroatien [Arbitration in Croatia] (Heidelberg 2001) (in German)

Triva, S. and Dika, M.

Građansko parnično procesno pravo [Civil Procedural Law] (Zagreb 2004) (See chapters on arbitration (Sects. 178-179) and mediation (Sect. 180)) (in Croatian)

Triva, S. and Uzelac, A. (TRIVA/UZELAC)

Hrvatsko arbitražno pravo. Komentar Zakona o arbitraži i drugi izvori hrvatskoga arbitražnog prava [Croatian Arbitration Law: Commentary of the Law on Arbitration and Other Sources of Croatian Arbitration Law] (Zagreb 2007) (In Croatian, with translation of various arbitration instruments and materials into English)

Uzelac, A., et al.

Mirenje u građanskim, trgovačkim i radnim sporovima (s komentarom Zakona o mirenju) [Conciliation in Civil, Commercial and Labour Disputes (with a commentary on the Law on Conciliation)] (Zagreb 2004) (in Croatian)

Uzelac, A. and Keglević, A.

“Arbitration in Croatia” in Oberhammer, Paul, ed., *Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit in Zentraleuropa* [Arbitration in Central Europe] (Wien-Graz 2005) pp. 131-252

Uzelac, A. and Nagy, T.

“Croatia – National Report” in Liebscher, Christoph and Fremuth-Wolf, Alice, *Arbitration Law and Practice in Central and Eastern Europe* (JurisNet, Huntington 2006) pp. CRO-1 to CRO-91

14. For a more comprehensive bibliography of writings (prior to 1995) see “Arbitration Bibliography – Croatian Authors on Arbitration”, 2 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1995) p. 217.

CROATIA

b. Articles

Babić, D.A.

“*Može li se ugovoriti nadležnost stranog državnog ili arbitražnog suda za sporove iz ugovora o nekretninama?*” [Is it Possible to Agree on Arbitration Before a Foreign Court or Arbitral Tribunal Regarding Disputes on Immovable Property?] 45 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2006, no. 4) pp. 348-359 (in Croatian)

“Restriction on Foreign Arbitration Under the Croatian Arbitration Act and Freedom to Provide Services in EC Law”, 12 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2005) pp. 155-185

“*Ustavna tužba protiv odluke arbitražnog suda o nenadležnosti (komentar odluke Ustavnog suda U-III-669/2003)*” [Constitutional Complaint Against an Arbitral Ruling on Lack of Jurisdiction (A Commentary on the CC Decision)] 14 *Pravo i porezi* (2005, no. 7) pp. 22-34 (in Croatian)

Dika, M.

“Disponability of the Subject Matter of the Dispute as a Requirement for Arbitrability in Croatian Law: Selected Issues”, 12 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2005) pp. 11-24

“Effects of the Arbitral Award Under the Croatian Law on Arbitration”, 13 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2006) pp. 7-27

“Interim Measures Under Croatian Arbitration Law”, 15 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2008) pp. 11-27

“The Legal Status of Institutional and *ad hoc* Arbitration in Croatian Law *de lege lata* and *de lege ferenda*”, 14 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2007) pp. 11-20

Giunio, M.

“Arbitration Agreements in the Practice of the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Croatia”, 12 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2005) pp. 79-110

“Courts of Law and Arbitration under the Croatian Law on Arbitration”, 9 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2002) pp. 191-216

“*Nadležnost Ustavnog suda za ocjenu odluke arbitražnog suda o nenadležnosti*” [The Competence of the Constitutional Court Regarding Arbitral Decisions on the Lack of Jurisdiction] 45 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2006, no. 2) pp. 56-73 (in Croatian)

“*Sudska kontrola arbitražnog pravorijeka – Zakon o arbitraži i refleksije de lege ferenda*” [The Court Control of the Arbitral Award – The Law on Arbitration and Some Reflections *de lege ferenda*] 47 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2008, no. 6) pp. 1111-1152 (in Croatian)

CROATIA

Grbin, I.

“*Nagodba u obveznom pravu, te u parničnom i arbitražnom postupku*” [Settlements in the Law of Obligations in Litigation and Arbitration] 46 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2007, no. 4) pp. 269-303 (in Croatian)

Gumzej, N.

“Certain Aspects of Public Policy in Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards”, 10 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2003) pp. 39-98

Jug, J.

“Methods of Termination of Arbitral Proceedings – Dilemmas and Proposals”, 13 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2006) pp. 63-71

“*Republika Hrvatska kao stranka u domaćoj i inozemnoj arbitraži*” [The Republic of Croatia as a Party in a Domestic and Foreign Arbitration] 47 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2008, no. 2) pp. 313-323 (in Croatian)

Karakaš, E.

“Concept of Arbitral Award Under Croatian and Comparative Law”, 13 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2006) pp. 29-62

Kontrec, Damir

“Amicable Settlement of Civil Disputes – the Role of the Trial Judge”, 13 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2006) pp. 257-267

Krapac, K.

“*Bilateralni sporazumi o poticanju i zaštiti ulaganja u RH – pojam ‘ulaganja’, način rješavanja sporova i tumačenje ugovora*” [Bilateral Agreements on Promotion and Protection of Investments in the RC – the Notion of ‘Investment’ Methods of Dispute Resolution and Interpretation of Contracts] 47 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2008, no. 6) pp. 1285-1297 (in Croatian)

Kunštek, E.

“Arbitral Decisions on Interim Measures – Certain Unresolved Doubts”, 13 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2006) pp. 123-129

“*Rješavanje sporova prema dvostranim ugovorima o poticanju i zaštiti stranih ulaganja koje je sklopila RH – otvorena pitanja*” [The BITs Concluded by Croatia – Open Issues] 47 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2008, no. 6) pp. 1267-1284 (in Croatian)

Lazić, V.

“The Role of Courts and Arbitral Institutions Under the Croatian Arbitration Act: Does the Act Present a ‘Friendly’ Legal Framework for Arbitration?”, 14 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2007) pp. 21-40

Lovrić, V.

“Practice of Commercial Courts in Arbitration: Selected Issues”, 11 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2004) pp. 51-81

CROATIA

Maganić, A.

“Arbitrability in Non-contentious Matters”, 15 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (2008) pp. 113-144

Miladin, P.

“The Institution of Confirmation in Writing (or Writings in Confirmation) in International Commercial Law and the So-Called ‘Conclusion’ of Arbitration Agreement by Way of Silence in Commercial Relations Under the Croatian Arbitration Act”, 15 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (2008) pp. 29-57

Musa, K.

“*Tužba radi poništaja presude izbranog suda*” [The Action for Setting Aside Arbitral Awards] 56 Zbornik Pravnog fakulteta u Zagrebu (2006) pp. 801-840 (in Croatian)

Obuljen, S.

“*Dostavljanje prema Zakonu o arbitraži*” [Service of Documents Pursuant to the Law on Arbitration] 42 Pravo u gospodarstvu (2003, no. 2) pp. 64-73 (in Croatian)

Povrženić, N.

“Arbitrability of Industrial Property Disputes”, 12 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (2005) pp. 25-44

Sajko, K.

“*Može li se protiv strane države provesti ovrha na osnovi arbitražnog pravorijeka – rješenja i otvorena pitanja*” [Is it Possible to Enforce Arbitral Awards Against a Foreign State?] 15 Pravo i porezi (2006, no. 4) pp. 25-30 (in Croatian)

“*Može li treća osoba pokrenuti sudski postupak o predmetu o kojem se vodi arbitražni postupak između drugih osoba*” [May a Third Party Institute Court Proceedings Regarding the Subject Matter on Which an Arbitral Proceedings Is Pending?] 12 Pravo i porezi (2003, no. 5) pp. 3-6 (in Croatian)

“*Napomene o arbitražnom rješavanju sporova na osnovi ugovora o poticanju i uzajamnoj zaštiti ulaganja između Hrvatske i Italije*” [Some Remarks on Arbitral Settlement of Disputes Based on the Bilateral Investment Treaty Between Croatia and Italy] 42 Pravo u gospodarstvu (2003, no. 2) pp. 7-17 (in Croatian)

Sikirić, H.

“Arbitration Agreement in the Practice of the Permanent Arbitration Court at the Croatian Chamber of Economy”, 12 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (2005) pp. 45-78

“Confidentiality in Arbitral Proceedings”, 13 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (2006) pp. 131-166

“*Javni poredak kao razlog za poništaj pravorijeka*” [Public Policy as a Reason for the Annulment of the Arbitral Award] 59 Zbornik Pravnog fakulteta u Zagrebu (2009, nos. 2-3) pp. 225-268 (in Croatian)

“The Zagreb Rules and the Law on Arbitration in the Case Law of the Permanent

CROATIA

Arbitration Court at the Croatian Chamber of Economy (selected issues)", 14 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (2007) pp. 145-180

"*Ugovor o arbitraži u praksi Stalnog izbranog sudišta pri HGK u Zagrebu: (izbor odluka)*" [Arbitration Agreements in the Jurisprudence of the Permanent Arbitration Court at the CCE] 44 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2005, no. 2) pp. 9-38 (in Croatian)

Stanić, B.

"*Arbitraža i ugovori o javno-privatnom partnerstvu*" [Arbitration and the Agreements on Public-Private Partnership] 47 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2008, no. 6) pp. 1197-1224 (in Croatian)

"*Arbitražno rješavanje sporova iz ugovora o povezivanju odvjetnika*" [Arbitral Settlement of Disputes Arising from the Agreements on the Association of Attorneys] 46 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2007, no. 2) pp. 133-150 (in Croatian)

Tepeš, N.

"Settlement Negotiations Assisted by Neutral Third Party – Activities of the Mediation Centre of the Croatian Chamber of Economy", 14 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (2007) pp. 129-142

Triva, S.

"Croatian Law on Arbitration", 9 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (2002) pp. 107-138

"Recognition and Enforcement of Domestic Arbitral Awards", 8 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (2001) pp. 67-81

Uzelac, A.

"Current Developments in the Field of Arbitration in Croatia", 19 *Journal of International Arbitration* (2002, no. 1) pp. 73-79

"New Boundaries of Arbitrability Under the Croatian Law on Arbitration", 9 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (2002) pp. 139-160

"The Form of Arbitration Agreement and the Fiction of Written Orality: How Far Should We Go?", 8 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (2001) pp. 83-107

"*Forma arbitražnog ugovora u hrvatskom pravu: novo uređenje, njegova ishodišta i perspektive daljnjeg razvoja*" [The Form of Arbitration Agreements in Croatian Law: New Regulation, its Roots and the Perspectives of Further Development] 56 *Zbornik Pravnog fakulteta u Zagrebu* (2006, nos. 2-3) pp. 549-582 (in Croatian)

Vičić, A.

"*Mjesto odvjetnika u arbitražnim postupcima*" [The Place of Attorneys in the Arbitral Proceedings] 75 *Odvjetnik* (2002, nos. 3-4) pp. 32-34 (in Croatian)

CROATIA

Vukić, H.

“*Treba li arbitražna institucija imati ujednačena pravna shvaćanja: ocjena dvaju pravorijeka*” [Should an Arbitral Institution Have Uniform Interpretation of Law?] 42 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2003, no. 2) pp. 49-56 (in Croatian)

“*Zaključak arbitražnog suda i pravni lijekovi protiv njega*” [Procedural Orders of the Arbitral Tribunal and the Legal Remedies Against Them] 14 *Pravo i porezi* (2005, no. 2) pp. 55-57 (in Croatian)

c. Journals dealing specifically with arbitration

The Croatian Arbitration Yearbook, published annually since 1994, is the major publication on arbitration in Croatia. It is published by the Permanent Arbitration Court at the Croatian Chamber of Commerce and contains articles on international arbitration in and outside Croatia, arbitral jurisprudence and other documents (such as the English texts of relevant rules and statutes and a bibliography). It is published predominantly in English (with summaries in Croatian and occasionally in other foreign languages).

d. Other journals

Other periodicals with some content relevant to arbitration include *Pravo u gospodarstvu* [The Law in Economy] published by the Croatian Association of Business Lawyers; *Pravo i porezi* [The Law and Taxes] published by RRI, *Odvjetnik* [The Lawyer] published by the Croatian Bar Association; *Zbornik Pravnog Fakulteta u Zagrebu* [Collected Papers of the Zagreb Faculty of Law]. All of these journals contain papers predominantly in Croatian.

Chapter II. Arbitration Agreement

I. FORM AND CONTENTS OF THE AGREEMENT

a. Arbitration clause and submission agreement

Croatian law recognizes equally a submission agreement (an agreement to submit an already existing dispute to arbitration) and an arbitration clause (an agreement to refer future disputes to arbitration, contained in a contract or elsewhere). The Law on Arbitration follows the text of the 1985 UNCITRAL Model Law, providing in Art. 6(1) in fine that “[a]n arbitration agreement may be concluded in the form of an arbitration clause in a contract or in the form of a separate arbitration agreement”. The same approach was followed in the earlier law.

b. Form of arbitration agreement

The definition and form of the arbitration agreement, as well as the law applicable to it are regulated extensively in Art. 6 of the Law on Arbitration.

The content of the arbitration agreement is defined as “... an agreement of the

parties to submit to arbitration all or certain disputes which have arisen or which may arise in the future between them in respect of a defined legal relationship of a contractual or non-contractual nature”.

For an arbitration contract to be validly concluded, a written form is generally needed. However, as in the UNCITRAL Model Law, the definition of writing is broad and includes agreements contained in documents signed by the parties or agreements reached in an exchange of letters, telex, facsimiles, telegrams or other means of telecommunication which provide a record of the agreement, whether signed by the parties or not.¹⁵

In addition to this broad definition, there are a number of situations that are regarded as constituting valid written agreements (even though in some of the situations the written form requirement is only fictitiously fulfilled). Such situations, expressly provided by law, include tacit acceptance of a written offer or of a written confirmation of an oral offer (Art. 6(3)(1) and (2)). In both cases failure to respond shall constitute a valid acceptance, if so considered by the trade usages.¹⁶

Reference to general conditions that contain an arbitration clause is enough for a valid arbitration agreement, provided that the reference is such as to make that clause part of the contract (Art. 6(4)). The same is applicable to arbitration agreements concluded by the issuance of a bill of lading – they are valid if the bill of lading contains an express reference to an arbitration clause in a charter party (Art. 6(5)).

On the other hand, the formal requirements are much stricter for arbitration agreements in consumer contracts. For such contracts, the arbitration agreement must be contained in a separate document signed by both parties that comprises no agreements other than those referring to the arbitral proceedings. The latter condition does not apply if the agreement was drawn up by a notary public.

All formal insufficiencies of the arbitration agreement can be cured if the respondent fails to object to the jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal in due time, i.e., at the latest in his statement of defence in which he raises issues relating to the substance of the dispute.

15. The last part of this sentence was inspired by the work of the UNCITRAL Working Group on Arbitration and Conciliation – see the draft text of Art. 7(2) of the Model Law for the 33rd session, document A/CN/WG.II/WP.110. The Law on Arbitration was enacted prior to conclusion of the UNCITRAL work on Art. 7 of the Model Law, so its drafters could only utilize the preparatory work. See UZELAC, “The Form of Arbitration Agreement and the Fiction of Written Orality: How Far Should We Go?”, 8 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2001) pp. 83-107. See also SIKIRIĆ, “*Ugovor o arbitraži u praksi stalnog izbranog sudišta pri HGK u Zagrebu: (Izbor Odluka)*” [Arbitration Agreements in the Jurisprudence of the Permanent Arbitration Court at the CCE] 44 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2005, no. 2) pp. 9-38 (in Croatian).

16. See more in MILADIN, “The Institution of Confirmation in Writing (or Writings in Confirmation) in International Commercial Law and the So-Called ‘Conclusion’ of Arbitration Agreement by Way of Silence in Commercial Relations Under the Croatian Arbitration Act”, 15 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2008) pp. 29-57.

CROATIA

In addition to the formal validity, the Law on Arbitration provides for the substantive validity of the arbitration agreement. Since the validity of an arbitration agreement *ratione materiae* is a matter of applicable substantive law, in determining the choice of law rules, the Law follows the principle of party autonomy. Thus, the law applicable to substantive validity of the agreement is the law designated by the parties, or, failing such designation, the law applicable to the substance of the dispute or the law of the Republic of Croatia (Art. 6(7)).¹⁷

c. Model arbitration clause

An arbitration clause that is often used in Croatia is the one recommended by the PAC-CCC. It reads:

“All disputes arising out of this contract, including such relating to its breach, termination or invalidity, and any legal consequence thereof, shall be finally settled by arbitration in accordance with the Rules of International Arbitration of the Permanent Arbitration Court at the Croatian Chamber of Commerce as in force.”¹⁸

The parties are advised to include in their arbitration clauses supplementary provisions on the number of arbitrators (one or three), the law applicable to the merits of the dispute, the language or languages of arbitral proceedings, the place of arbitration and the appointing authority.

2. PARTIES TO THE AGREEMENT

a. General

The capacity of a physical or legal person to resort to arbitration is governed by the law applicable to that person. If Croatian law is applicable, such capacity is very broad – every Croatian entity endowed with legal personality may conclude an arbitration agreement and be a party to arbitration. There are virtually no limitations – current law no longer requires a specific quality of a party (e.g., professional engagement with commercial activity).

b. State entities

Although doctrine has long recognized the capacity of the state and state agencies to resort to arbitration,¹⁹ only since the Law on Arbitration was enacted has this issue been expressly settled. Art. 7(2) of the Law on Arbitration provides that the

17. See more in SIKIRIĆ, “*Ugovor o arbitraži*” [Arbitration Agreement] 41 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2002) pp. 35-57.

18. Available in Croatian on the website <ww.hgk.hr>.

19. For a standard view on this matter, see DIKA, “The Republic of Croatia and Units of Local Self-Government and Administration as Parties to International Commercial and Investment Arbitration”, 2 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (1995) pp. 95-108.

CROATIA

Republic of Croatia and units of local and regional government and self-government (i.e., municipalities, cities and counties) may submit their disputes to arbitration. Some of the largest disputes that gained public attention were the ones in which one party was a state agency for privatization (Croatian Privatization Fund). Such cases were domestic cases, but the state and the state-owned entities were also capable and willing to arbitrate in international cases (also before foreign arbitral tribunals).²⁰

c. Multi-party arbitration

There are no specific rules for multi-party arbitration in Croatian law. Generally, in a multi-party situation a valid arbitration agreement with regard to each party is necessary. The Zagreb Rules of 2002 only provide that multiple claimants and/or multiple respondents have to agree on the appointment of their joint arbitrator. Failing such an agreement or a joint proposal, their arbitrator shall be appointed by the appointing authority: the President of the PAC-CCC (Art. 9 Zagreb Rules of 2002). Although situations in which a single entity as a party would thus have more opportunity autonomously to select an arbitrator than (disagreeing) multiple parties have been discussed on some occasions, so far no specific appointment rules exist in this respect.

3. DOMAIN OF ARBITRATION

a. Arbitrability

The boundaries of arbitrability *ratione materiae* have gradually expanded in the last several decades, with a final decisive extension by the Law on Arbitration in 2001.²¹ Prior to 1990, only commercial disputes could be subject to arbitration (and only those between specific parties). After 1990, generally every dispute “concerning rights which the parties may freely dispose of” could be submitted to arbitration, but with a number of opaque limitations, contained in another additional condition. If exclusive jurisdiction of Croatian courts was provided for, the subject matter was not capable of arbitration.²² The rules on exclusive jurisdiction in fact ruled out the arbitration of disputes over property rights in real estate (land and buildings); many housing disputes and disputes regarding the lease of property (even disputes regarding the rental of business premises); disputes regarding aircraft, ships and inland water vessels; disputes arising from

20. See more in JUG, “*Republika Hrvatska kao stranka u domaćoj i inozemnoj arbitraži*” [The Republic of Croatia as a Party in a Domestic and Foreign Arbitration] 47 *Pravo u gospodarstvu*, (2008, no. 2) pp. 313-323.

21. On the history of the past limitations and the recent changes, see more in UZELAC, “New Boundaries of Arbitrability under the Croatian Law on Arbitration”, 9 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2002) pp. 139-160.

22. See Arts. 469 and 469a of the Code of Civil Procedure (now abrogated).

CROATIA

relations with the military; disputes arising out of or connected to bankruptcy proceedings or compulsory enforcement proceedings; as well as a number of shareholder disputes in trading companies.²³

After 2001, the rules on arbitrability were restructured. The previous approach that distinguished arbitrability in domestic and international cases was replaced by a distinction between arbitration taking place inside Croatia (“domestic arbitration” under its definition in Art. 2(1)(2) of the Law on Arbitration) and arbitrations that are regarded as foreign in Croatia. For arbitration in the territory of Croatia, virtually no subject-matter limits, except for the dispositive nature of the dispute, are provided.²⁴ Therefore, every dispute that would be capable of settlement, including those on *patents* and *trademarks*, could be arbitrated in Croatia.

On the other hand, “exporting” a dispute to arbitration abroad (permissible only for international disputes) may take place “unless it is provided by law that such a dispute may be subject only to the jurisdiction of a court in the Republic of Croatia”. The latter exception, although formulated in a more flexible way than the previous one, can still be interpreted as referring to the above limitations of exclusive jurisdiction. For example, whereas it should now be accepted that a dispute in a case of *bankruptcy* of one of the parties could be submitted to arbitration in Croatia, it is unlikely that such a case would be capable of being submitted to arbitration with a seat in a foreign country.²⁵ In contrast, the Bankruptcy Law of 1996 (BL) already allowed judges of the bankruptcy tribunal to refer disputed claims to arbitration, i.e., to order the parties upon the request of a creditor to settle their dispute by arbitration at some permanent arbitration court in the Republic of Croatia (Art. 178(6)-(10) BL).²⁶ However, since that time, this has never been used in practice.

As far as *antitrust* disputes are concerned, there are no specific legal rules or court jurisprudence. Their arbitrability would have to be evaluated under the above conditions, in particular regarding the ability to freely dispose of such rights and obligations.²⁷

23. For a review of previous law on this matter see DIKA, “Arbitrability and Exclusive Jurisdiction of Courts of Law”, 6 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1999) pp. 27-46.

24. But see some remarks of DIKA, “Disponability of the Subject Matter of the Dispute as a Requirement for Arbitrability in Croatian Law: Selected Issues”, 12 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (2005) pp. 11-24.

25. This limitation does not, however, exclude possibility of resorting to arbitration in such cases by an arbitral tribunal composed of foreign nationals, with foreign substantive law being applicable, as long as the place of arbitration is in the territory of Croatia.

26. See DIKA, “Arbitration in Bankruptcy? New Window of Opportunities in Croatian Law”, 4 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1997) pp. 27-38.

27. See more in ČULINOVIC-HERC, “Arbitrability of Unfair Competition Disputes”, 3 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1996) pp. 57-70.

CROATIA

b. Filling gaps

Under Art. 27(4) of the Law on Arbitration, arbitrators have to “decide in accordance with the terms of the contract and shall take into account the applicable usages”. This obligation, if submitted, includes the right to interpret the parties’ contract and, where needed, fill in gaps or resolve uncertainties by appropriate interpretation. However, since arbitrators may not decide *ex aequo et bono* unless expressly authorized, they should neither change nor amend the terms of the contract (e.g., the terms of a long-term construction contract) without an express authorization. The Law on Obligations (LO) permits a third party to be empowered to fill in gaps in a contract or interpret it. This should also apply to arbitrators.²⁸ Authorization to fill in the gaps could also be given implicitly and its scope largely depends on the circumstances of the case.

c. Adapting contracts

If Croatian substantive law is applicable, arbitrators are allowed to *adapt a contract* to fundamentally changed circumstances according to the doctrine of *clausula rebus sic stantibus*. If, after conclusion of a contract, the circumstances unexpectedly change to the extent that the contract no longer corresponds with the legitimate expectations of the parties and it would be inequitable to maintain it as it is, an arbitrator could terminate the contract upon the petition of the affected party. However, the other party may suggest appropriate changes to the contract, or consent to any changes proposed by the arbitral tribunal.²⁹ As a rule, arbitrators do not need specific authorization to make use of these provisions.

4. SEPARABILITY OF THE ARBITRATION CLAUSE

The doctrine of separability of the arbitration clause from the rest of the main contract is well settled in Croatian law. In the Law on Arbitration, separability is provided for in Art. 15(1), second sentence, “For that purpose [ruling on objections to the existence or validity of the arbitration agreement] an arbitration clause which forms part of a contract shall be treated as an agreement independent of the other terms of the contract.” It also expressly provides that a decision to render the contract null and void shall not entail *ipso jure* the validity of the arbitration clause. Thus, no essential differences with respect to the separability of the arbitration clause exist between the allegations that the main contract is non-existent and the objections to its invalidity.

In any case, such objections to the jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal shall be raised no later than the submission of a statement of defence. A plea that the arbitral tribunal is exceeding the scope of its authority should be raised as soon

28. See Arts. 50 and 102 LO (Off. Gaz. SFRY 29/78, 39/85, 46/85, 57/89 and Off. Gaz. 53/91, 73/91, 3/94, 7/96, 112/99 and 88/01).

29. See Art. 133 CO.

CROATIA

as the matter alleged to be beyond the scope of its authority is raised in the arbitral proceedings (Art. 15(2)).

5. EFFECT OF THE AGREEMENT

a. Duty of court

If an action is submitted to the court in Croatia and the respondent invokes the arbitration agreement in due time, the court is obliged to declare that it lacks jurisdiction. It must then annul all actions taken in the proceedings and refuse to rule on the statement of claim, unless it finds that the arbitration agreement is null and void, inoperative or incapable of being performed (Art. 42(1)). The court does not have any discretion in relation to the action, and has to dismiss it on formal grounds if the above conditions are fulfilled.³⁰ The court should act in the same way regarding all valid arbitration agreements, also in respect to those not covered by Art. II(3) of the 1958 New York Convention.

b. Court examination of arbitration agreement

There is no clear jurisprudence regarding the standard of inquiry into the validity of the arbitration agreement; generally, as this is regarded to be a procedural issue, the standard should be the *prima facie* review only.

An objection to jurisdiction has to be raised prior to engaging in argument on the merits, i.e., at the preparatory hearing, or, if such hearing does not take place, at the first main hearing before the end of the oral presentation of the statement of defence. After this moment, the respondent is deemed to have tacitly consented to the court's jurisdiction (*prorogatio tacita*) (Art. 42(2)).

c. Duty of arbitrators

For the sake of speed and avoiding undue delays, submission of an action in a court of law does not preclude the possibility of an arbitration in the same case. Pending a decision on jurisdictional objections in the court proceedings, arbitrators may continue the arbitral proceedings and make their award (Art. 42(3)).

If an objection to jurisdiction is raised in arbitral proceedings, the arbitrators have two options at their disposal: they may either issue a separate ruling on

30. Technically, in the dispositive part of the decision the court will only dismiss the claim due to the lack of jurisdiction (*odbacivanje tužbe*). Such a decision does not stay the proceedings but discontinues them. However, the claimant may raise the same claim again if the jurisdictional obstacles would be removed, e.g., if the parties would waive their agreement to arbitrate or if the agreement would cease to be valid or operable. No "referral to arbitration" is made in the court decisions (although the court should note the existence of the arbitral agreement in the explanation of its decision) as it is considered that initiation of the arbitration is within the sole disposition of the parties.

jurisdiction, or continue the proceedings and deal with the objection to their jurisdiction in the final award. If the arbitrators have ruled that the arbitral tribunal is competent to hear the case in a separate procedural order,³¹ it is also possible to request a final decision on this issue by the competent court within thirty days (Art. 15(3)).³² Such court proceedings would have to be urgent. Nevertheless, the request for such a specific court ruling on jurisdiction does not prevent arbitrators from continuing the arbitration and making an award (see *infra*, Chap. V.4). The procedural orders in which the arbitrators decline their jurisdiction cannot be challenged in the court of law. However, one decision of the Constitutional Court has annulled one such procedural order made in the arbitration proceedings based on the alleged denial of access to justice.³³

Chapter III. Arbitrators

1. QUALIFICATIONS

a. Requirements

Croatian law does not require arbitrators to have any particular qualifications. Generally, every adult of sane mind may be an arbitrator. No specific legal qualifications are requested for arbitrators as far as training, experience, admission to the bar or other qualification is concerned. The same approach is maintained by the arbitral institutions. In practice the vast majority of arbitrations are conducted by persons with a high level of legal expertise and knowledge.

Aside from legal knowledge, there is also the issue of nationality and/or residence of arbitrators. Until 2001, there were no specific rules on this issue. The Law on Arbitration has now provided an express rule, adopted from the UNCITRAL Model Law, that “[n]o person shall be precluded by reason of his nationality from acting as an arbitrator” (Art. 10(1)). This is, however, not a mandatory rule, and the parties may agree otherwise in their agreement. Although the wording of this rule is the same as Art. 11(1) of the UNCITRAL Model Law, its scope of application is broader, since the Law on Arbitration applies both to international and national arbitration (i.e., even if the dispute does not have an international character according to its legal definition).

-
31. A separate ruling on the jurisdiction of the tribunal would have to be issued in the form of the procedural order, as the notion of the “award” is defined in the Croatian LA as a decision on the merits.
 32. Indeed, if the tribunal rules on the jurisdiction in the final award, it is only possible to attack this ruling in the setting aside action.
 33. For critical observations on this decision see, inter alia, GIUNIO, “Arbitration Agreements in the Practice of the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Croatia”, 12 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2005) pp. 79-110; VUKIĆ, “*Nadležnost Ustavnog suda za ocjenu odluke arbitražnog suda o nenadležnosti*” [The Competence of the Constitutional Court Regarding Arbitral Decisions on the Lack of Jurisdiction] 45 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2006, no. 2) pp. 56-73.

b. Restrictions

The only legal restriction on possible appointments relates to active judges of national courts. Continuing the tradition from the previous law, judges of Croatian courts may be appointed only as presiding arbitrators or sole arbitrators, i.e., they cannot act as party-appointed arbitrators (Art. 10(2)).

All the preceding rules on general qualifications for arbitrators apply in so far as those arbitrators are capable of performing their tasks and duties in a specific case. Specifically, arbitrators are required to possess qualities agreed by the parties, and specific abilities necessary to deal with the case with appropriate speed. The Law on Arbitration provides that arbitrators have a duty to conduct arbitration with due expeditiousness and undertake measures on time in order to avoid any delay of proceedings (Art. 11(2)). If an arbitrator fails in either duty, parties may discharge the arbitrator by their consent. This can also be a ground for challenge of an arbitrator.

c. Disclosure requirements

The most essential feature regarding the personal qualities of arbitrators is their impartiality and independence. Both qualities are regarded as a fundamental feature of the due process in arbitration³⁴ and therefore even the parties themselves cannot agree in advance on the appointment of biased arbitrators.

Every person approached in connection to appointment as an arbitrator is under an obligation to disclose facts which may raise doubts about his or her impartiality and/or independence (Art. 12(1)). This obligation does not cease with the appointment, so if any new circumstances arise later, the arbitrator must disclose them to the parties as soon as possible.

The approach of the Law on Arbitration is also reflected in the arbitration rules. The Zagreb Rules of 2002, unlike previous sets of rules, rely on the provisions of the Law on Arbitration so heavily that they do not provide any additional rules on the qualities of arbitrators, apart from the provision on the non-exclusive character of its panels of arbitrators.

2. APPOINTMENT OF ARBITRATORS

The parties are free to determine the procedure for appointing arbitrators (Art. 10(3)). In practice this is most often done by reference to the rules of arbitral institutions. However, the Law on Arbitration provides a system of appointing arbitrators if the parties have made no direct or indirect agreement in this respect.

34. The obligation to treat the parties equally is also found in Art. 17 LA.

CROATIA

a. Appointment according to the Law on Arbitration

Under the default rule of Art. 10 of the Law on Arbitration, if three arbitrators are to be appointed (which is generally the case unless parties have provided otherwise), each party appoints one arbitrator. These two then appoint the third arbitrator. If a party fails to appoint an arbitrator within thirty days from receipt of a request, or if two arbitrators fail to appoint the third one within thirty days from the last appointment of party-appointed arbitrators, an interested party may request appointment from the appointing authority determined in Art. 43.³⁵

If a sole arbitrator is to be appointed (in cases where the parties agreed to a sole arbitrator, but have failed to determine the procedure for his or her appointment) the appointment has to be made jointly by both parties. However, if the parties fail to reach agreement, each of them may request the appointing authority to make the appointment.

b. Appointment according to the Arbitration Rules of the PAC-CCC

If the parties have selected the Zagreb Rules of 2002, a very similar procedure to the one described above would generally have to be followed. The appointing authority under these arbitration rules is the President of the PAC-CCC.

For appointment of three arbitrators, the Zagreb Rules of 2002 once again fully rely on the provisions of the Law on Arbitration.

If a sole arbitrator is to be appointed, the parties have to communicate the name of the agreed arbitrator within the time limit set by the secretary of the PAC-CCC. This cannot be less than fifteen days from the submission of the statement of defence by the respondent (or its failure to do so). After this time limit has passed, the appointing authority will make the appointment (Art. 7 Zagreb Rules of 2002).

When making an appointment, the appointing authority should use the list procedure, unless the parties have agreed otherwise or the appointing authority considers the list procedure inappropriate for the specific case. The list procedure involves sending a list of at least three names to both parties. Every party has the right to strike one or more names from the list and rank the rest according to its preferences. The appointing authority should generally make appointment according to the parties' preferences. If a party fails to state its preferences or does not return the list within the designated fifteen days, the appointing authority shall make the appointment in its own discretion.

c. Mandatory rules

In the process of appointment, the appointing authority (court or other authority designated by the parties) shall have due regard to any qualifications required of the arbitrator by the parties' agreement and to such considerations as are likely to secure the appointment of an independent and impartial arbitrator. In an

35. See also comments on the appointing authority, *infra*, Chapter III.4.

CROATIA

international dispute, in the case of a sole or presiding arbitrator, the appointing authority shall also take into account the advisability of appointing an arbitrator of a nationality other than those of the parties (Art. 10(6)). The decision on the appointment of an arbitrator is not subject to any appeal.

3. NUMBER OF ARBITRATORS

The parties may freely determine the number of arbitrators. If they have not made any designation regarding the number of arbitrators, the law provides for the appointment of three arbitrators (Art. 9). The Law on Arbitration has abandoned the previous rule that required the appointment of an odd number of arbitrators. In practice, though, it is customary to appoint either one or three arbitrators. The alternative of one or three arbitrators is provided in the Zagreb Rules of 2002, with a default rule that disputes of up to € 50,000 have to be decided by a sole arbitrator, while disputes above this amount will be heard by a panel of three arbitrators (see Art. 6 Zagreb Rules of 2002).

4. CHALLENGE OF ARBITRATORS

a. Grounds

A request to challenge an arbitrator can be made on three grounds related to qualities of arbitrators as described under Chapter III.1, supra. Such grounds are:

- justifiable doubts as to the impartiality or independence of the arbitrator;
- lack of qualifications agreed by the parties; and
- failure to conduct arbitration with due expeditiousness.

b. Procedure

The challenge procedure may be agreed by the parties. The default rule is, however, that the challenge shall be decided by the arbitral tribunal itself, including the challenged arbitrator (Art. 12(6)). Naturally, a decision on challenge will not be necessary if the arbitrator withdraws from office. If the parties have not provided any other time limit, the challenge procedure has to be initiated by a written request with the grounds for challenge within fifteen days after becoming aware of such grounds.

If the arbitral tribunal rejects the challenge, a further (mandatory) rule provides that a party who requested the challenge may seek a final decision on this issue by the appointing authority.

If such an appointing authority was not determined by the parties, the appointing authority will be the President of the High Commercial Court or the President of the County Court in Zagreb (for non-commercial matters) (Art. 43(3)). Although the rule on quasi-appellate decision-making on challenge

CROATIA

was inspired by the UNCITRAL Model Law, Art. 13(3)), there is a subtle difference, since under the UNCITRAL provision the “court or other authority specified in Art. 6” cannot be determined by the parties, while the “appointing authority” from Art. 43(3) enables the parties to specify “that some or all of the assisting activities are to be performed by an arbitral institution or some other appointing authority”. Although it may be disputable whether the final decision on challenge is an “assisting activity”, in practice, the President of the High Commercial Court has already decided that he has no jurisdiction if parties have, under the Arbitration Rules of the PAC-CCC, designated a different appointing authority. Assuming this case law will be followed, decisions on challenge made autonomously within an arbitration institution (i.e., by its appointing authority) will be final, with no subsequent court control. The only way to attack a finding that an arbitrator is not biased would be in the procedure for setting aside of the award.

Similarly as in the case of qualities of arbitrators, the Zagreb Rules of 2002 have departed from the earlier practice and avoided providing any rules on challenge, assuming that (dispositive) rules of the Law on Arbitration would apply. The only possible exception is the general default rule designating the President of the PAC-CCC as appointing authority (Art. 10 Zagreb Rules of 2002).

Neither the arbitration rules nor the dispositive provisions of the Law on Arbitration provide any formal requirements for the challenge decisions. In practice, however, it is customary that the appointing authorities issue decisions in a written form, and that these decisions contain reasons.

5. TERMINATION OF THE ARBITRATOR’S MANDATE

The arbitrator’s mandate may be terminated in several ways. First, the arbitrator’s mandate terminates by the issuance of the final award. The general rule that the arbitrator who has issued the final award is *functus officio* has, however, several exceptions. In the case of setting aside of the award, the arbitrator’s mandate can be revived, if the award was set aside for a reason which does not entail the nullity of the arbitral agreement. Accordingly, under Art. 37(2) of the Law on Arbitration, the court that has set aside an award may, upon the request of a party, remit the case to the arbitral tribunal for reconsideration if this is found to be possible and appropriate.³⁶ Some other residual obligations of the arbitrators remain after the final award, for example the obligation to issue an additional award or an award on costs, or to interpret or correct the award.

The arbitrator’s mandate may also be terminated by an order of the tribunal. Such an order shall be issued in several instances, provided in Art. 32(1) of the

36. See more in TRIVA/UZELAC, pp. 312-318.

CROATIA

Law on Arbitration. The tribunal may terminate the proceedings (and thereby also its mandate) if the claimant had withdrawn his claim. However, if the respondent objects to such withdrawal and the arbitral tribunal recognizes a legitimate interest on his part in obtaining a final award in the dispute, it can also continue the arbitration. The tribunal would also terminate the proceedings by its order if the parties agree on the termination of the proceedings. Finally, the tribunal can also terminate proceedings on its own motion, if it finds that the continuation of the proceedings has for any other reason become unnecessary or impossible.

The arbitrator's mandate also terminates if he dies, withdraws from his office, if the parties agree on his removal after he has become unable to perform his functions or has failed to act for other reasons, or if he has been successfully challenged (see Arts. 12 and 13 LA). In all such cases, a substitute arbitrator has to be appointed according to the same procedure that was applicable to the appointment of the arbitrator being replaced (Art. 14 LA). All these rules are, with very small differences, essentially the same as the rules contained in Arts. 12-15 of the UNCITRAL Model Law.

All decisions or acts regarding the termination of the arbitrator's mandate are, in principle, not subject to court review (except within the setting aside proceedings, and only to the extent permitted by the grounds for setting aside). This does not, however, prevent the arbitrator whose mandate was terminated from asking costs or damages which may be caused by the termination of his mandate.

6. LIABILITY OF ARBITRATORS

There are no explicit rules on the liability of arbitrators in Croatian law. There has also been no case law on this matter. The doctrine regards the relationship between the parties (and/or arbitral institutions) and the arbitrators as a contractual relationship (i.e., a kind of an employment contract). Each arbitrator is requested to accept his appointment in writing (and, thus, his rights and duties as an arbitrator vis-à-vis the parties). Acceptance may also be made by simply signing the arbitration agreement (Art. 11(1) of the Law on Arbitration). Therefore, in the view of the author, arbitrators may be sued for failure to comply with their duties and eventually also be ordered to pay damages caused by their failure, inability, lack of qualifications and/or manifest bias. However, with respect to decision-making, arbitrators perform a jurisdictional activity that may result in an act that is of equal legal force to a final court judgment and therefore they should also enjoy the same immunity as the judges of state courts. There is no case law on this point.

Chapter IV. Arbitral Procedure

1. PLACE OF ARBITRATION

a. Determination

Under Art. 19 of the Law on Arbitration, the principle of party autonomy is applicable to the selection of the place of arbitration as well. Parties may freely determine the place of arbitration by their agreement. This place may be either inside or outside Croatia. However, if the parties determine a place of arbitration abroad, the Law on Arbitration will not be applicable as a source of rules on arbitral proceedings, since its scope is limited to arbitrations that take place in the territory of the Republic of Croatia. The Law on Arbitration has introduced the pure principle of territoriality to determine whether an arbitration will be considered to be foreign or domestic (Art. 1(1) read with Art. 2(1)(2)).³⁷ Therefore the place of arbitration is the sole criterion designating the nature of an arbitral award. If the parties have selected a place of arbitration in Croatia, their award is domestic, if not, it is considered to be a foreign award.

b. Legal consequences

Because of the weight and consequences of the selection of the place of arbitration, it is highly unlikely that parties will fail to determine it, either directly or by reference to some arbitration rules.³⁸ However, for such unlikely cases the law provides that "... the place of arbitration will be determined by the arbitral tribunal having regard to the circumstances of the case, including the convenience for the parties" (Art. 19(2)). If arbitrators have failed expressly to provide such a determination during arbitral proceedings, it is presumed that the place of arbitration is the one designated in the award as the place where the award was made. This rule is interlinked with the provision of Art. 30(2) ("The award shall be made in the place of arbitration."). In any case, it is necessary expressly to designate the place of arbitration in the award according to this rule. Failure to do so may result in not being able to determine whether the award is made inside or outside the country and therefore lead to problems in its enforcement.

The place of arbitration is a legal, not a factual determination. Parties and arbitrators may hold their meetings at any other appropriate place, either inside Croatia or abroad, for consultations, hearing witnesses, experts or the parties or for the inspection of goods or documents (Art. 19(4)).³⁹

37. The Law on Arbitration uses the term "domestic arbitration" to denote both international and national arbitration ("domestic" according to the nature of the parties) that is attributed to the domestic legal order.

38. E.g., the Zagreb Rules 2002 provide in Art. 4 that, if parties have not agreed otherwise, arbitration will take place at the seat of the PAC-CCC, i.e., in Zagreb.

39. For additional aspects see SIKIRIĆ, "Selection of the Place of Arbitration", 3 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1996) pp. 7-27.

2. ARBITRAL PROCEEDINGS IN GENERAL

a. Determining procedure

The rules of the arbitral proceedings may be freely chosen by the parties to the proceedings. The parties may use this power either directly or by reference to a set of rules (e.g., the arbitration rules of the PAC-CCC or rules for an *ad hoc* arbitration such as the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules).⁴⁰ The freedom to determine the rules of procedure is only limited by the mandatory rules of the Law on Arbitration, but there are very few such rules. The most important limitation is contained in the general provision of Art. 17 dealing with equal treatment of parties. Other limitations could be derived from the list of reasons for setting aside (e.g., the obligation to respect public policy). The rules agreed by the parties must not lead to inclusion of parties or issues that are not covered by the arbitration agreement.

If the parties fail to determine arbitration rules or if some procedural details were not addressed by such rules, the rules of arbitration proceedings may be set by the arbitrators, on the basis that the arbitral tribunal may “conduct the arbitration in such manner as it considers appropriate”. This power given to arbitrators may be utilized in various ways – by direct and detailed determination of applicable rules, by referring to a set of rules contained in institutional or *ad hoc* rules or in some national legislation or any other appropriate manner. The limitations of the right of arbitrators to determine the ways arbitration proceedings are conducted are generally the same as those regarding the parties (see above), with some exceptions that arise from separate rules on commencement and language of the proceedings and oral hearings (see *infra*).

b. Written pleadings

With respect to the commencement of the proceedings, the Law on Arbitration provides default rules that apply if the parties have not agreed otherwise. These rules are a specific compromise that distinguish between *ad hoc* and institutional arbitration. For the latter, arbitral proceedings are initiated by submission of the statement of claim to the arbitral institution (according to previous practice that emulates court proceedings).⁴¹ On the other hand, *ad hoc* arbitration commences on the date on which a written statement of claim (that includes notification of the appointment of arbitrator and invitation to appoint the other arbitrator or proposal regarding appointment of a sole arbitrator) is received by the respondent (Art. 20). Thus, an exchange of written pleadings will in the vast majority of cases take place in the very beginning of the proceedings. Such pleadings should contain factual allegations, points at issue and relief or remedy sought. Departure from this rule would be possible only if the parties have provided some other way of

40. See Art. 18(1) of the Law on Arbitration.

41. The same method of commencing arbitration is also provided by Art. 13 of the Zagreb Rules 2002.

CROATIA

initiating arbitral proceedings. Written pleadings may be amended or supplemented during the course of the arbitral proceedings, unless the parties have agreed otherwise or the arbitral tribunal considers it inappropriate because of possible undue delay (Art. 22).

c. Language of proceedings

The Law on Arbitration also provides rules on the selection of languages of the arbitral proceedings. The parties may determine the language or languages of the proceedings. If the parties have failed to do so, this issue may be resolved by the arbitrators. In both cases, until the language is determined, submissions may be exchanged either in the language of the main contract, in the language of the arbitration agreement or in the Croatian language. The Croatian language will also be the default language if both parties and arbitrators fail to reach agreement on the language of the proceedings (Art. 21).

d. Oral hearing

The arbitral tribunal must fix an oral hearing at an appropriate stage of the proceedings, if a party so requests, unless the parties have agreed that the proceedings may be conducted on the basis of written evidence only (see Art. 26(1) and (2)). In most cases, the arbitration proceedings will involve holding one or more oral hearings. The parties may, however, agree otherwise, and the arbitrators may also decide whether oral hearings for the presentation of evidence or for oral arguments shall be held, or whether the proceedings shall be conducted solely on the basis of documents. However, unless the parties have agreed that no hearings shall be held, arbitrators have a duty to hold a hearing if any of the parties so request (Art. 23(2)).

In practice, arbitrators mostly hold oral hearings, and there is often an inclination to hold too many hearings (as is the practice of state courts) rather than to decide the case without hearing the parties in person. The Zagreb Rules of 2002 rely on the provisions of the Law on Arbitration, supplementing them only by technical details on time limits for submitting evidentiary and other motions (generally fifteen days prior to the date of the hearing), and the rule on confidentiality of oral hearings (i.e., confidential unless the parties have agreed that hearings will be held in public).⁴²

3. EVIDENCE

a. General

Croatian law expressly includes the arbitral tribunal's authority to decide on the admissibility, relevance and weight of any evidence in the power to determine the

42. See Art. 25 of the Zagreb Rules 2002.

CROATIA

rules of procedure (Art. 18(2)). The Croatian legal tradition has embraced the doctrine of free evaluation of evidence. Therefore Croatian arbitrators would not generally be bound by the rules of evidence applicable in Croatian courts (there are in any event very few such rules in the Croatian courts so far).

b. Witnesses

The arbitrators may determine the way in which witnesses are heard in the proceedings. The Law on Arbitration provides that witnesses should generally be heard at the oral hearing. However, with the consent of the witnesses, they may also be examined outside oral hearings. There are no rules on the method of hearing witnesses (e.g., whether they will be examined directly by arbitrators or whether they will be cross-examined); it is within the discretion of arbitrators to decide this after consulting the parties and taking into account other relevant circumstances (such as the procedural background of the arbitrators, the legitimate expectations of the parties and the applicable law).

The arbitral tribunal can also request witnesses “to answer questions in writing within a certain period of time” (Art. 25(2) LA). Thereby, the use of depositions – written witness statements – would also be permissible. Otherwise, witness statements are not as a rule used in proceedings before Croatian courts.

However, an express provision stipulates that witnesses “shall be examined without taking an oath” (Art. 25(3)). Since this refers to arbitration proceedings, witnesses could theoretically still be sworn if arbitrators request assistance from a court. However, in Croatian legal practice, swearing in of witnesses has almost completely been abandoned, even in court proceedings where it is legally permitted.

The arbitral tribunal does not have any compulsory means for compelling witnesses to appear and give their statements in the proceedings. However, Art. 45(1) of the Law on Arbitration provides that

“the arbitral tribunal, or a party with the approval of the arbitral tribunal, may request legal assistance from a competent court in taking evidence which the arbitral tribunal itself could not take”.

Acting upon such request, the court will use the same rules as if it were requested to take evidence by another court. As a rule, the competent court⁴³ would call the witness and take his statements on the protocol. If a witness refuses to appear, all legal means available in court proceedings could be utilized to compel him or her to appear and provide information (police assistance, monetary penalties and imprisonment). Arbitrators have the right to be present at the court hearing held upon their request and may put questions to witnesses who are being examined.

43. According to Art. 43(5), it would be a court that has subject-matter jurisdiction for the action, territorially competent according to the place where the particular activity has to be undertaken.

c. Documentary evidence

There are only a few legal provisions in Croatia that deal with the production of written evidence. The law provides that parties may already submit documents at the stage of exchanging of written pleadings. Each document that is relevant to the case has to be communicated to the other party. The parties have to be given sufficient advance notice about any hearing that is held, *inter alia*, for the inspection of documents.⁴⁴ The PCA-CCC Arbitration Rules also provide that arbitrators may set time limits within which parties have to deliver to the tribunal and to the other party a summary of the documents and other evidence that they intend to present in support of the facts in issue as set out in the statement of claim or statement of defence. During the proceedings, arbitrators may also set deadlines for the production of documents and other evidence (Art. 22(2) and (3) Zagreb Rules of 2002).

Other than that, there are no rules on discovery or disclosure of documents, and the arbitrators cannot use any compulsory means in order to enforce an order to produce a document. Yet, the fact that a document has not been produced or disclosed can be used in order to draw negative inferences for the case of the party that has refused to comply with the arbitrators' order.

4. TRIBUNAL-APPOINTED EXPERTS (SEE CHAPTER IV.3 FOR PARTY-APPOINTED EXPERT WITNESSES)

In Croatian legal practice it is customary to use neutral experts appointed by the body entrusted to adjudicate the case. Therefore, the Law on Arbitration provides that the arbitral tribunal may appoint one or more experts to report on specific issues determined by the arbitrators (Art. 26(1)). The tribunal is legally not required to consult the parties beforehand, although, as a matter of good practice, it is prudent to do so. The parties are entitled to present their own expert witnesses as well (Art. 26(2)).⁴⁵ Parties may agree otherwise concerning both Art. 26(1) and (2). In any case, the principle of equal treatment requires that the parties have the opportunity to read and comment on an expert's opinion. The Law on Arbitration expressly provides that they may request an oral hearing where they will be able to discuss all relevant issues with the expert, the other party and the arbitrators (Art. 26(2)).

Experts are supposed to be independent and impartial, so the rules on the challenge of arbitrators apply as appropriate to the challenge of experts (Art. 26(3)). This is at least true for experts appointed by the tribunal, whereas

44. See Art. 22(1) and Art. 23(3) and (4).

45. This conclusion is derived from Art. 26(2) of the Law on Arbitration, which provides that an expert witness appointed by the tribunal shall, at the request of either party, participate at the hearing where the parties shall have opportunity, *inter alia*, to "present [party appointed] expert witnesses in order to testify on the points at issue".

CROATIA

party-appointed experts may be heard as witnesses in the proceedings, even though they may not be fully independent of the party that appointed them (and paid for their opinion).

5. INTERIM MEASURES OF PROTECTION

a. General

One of the new features of the Law on Arbitration 2001 is a positive attitude towards the ability of arbitrators to decide on interim measures of protection.⁴⁶ Whereas the previous law did not allow arbitrators to issue any interim measures, Art. 16(1) of the new Law on Arbitration provides that arbitrators are authorized, unless otherwise agreed by the parties, to “order any party to take such interim measures of protection as the arbitral tribunal may consider necessary in respect of the subject matter of the dispute”.

b. Types of interim measure

The Law on Arbitration does not specify in detail the sorts of interim measures of protection. Generally, the national procedural law recognizes various types of interim measures, but the way in which courts have ordered interim measures to be divided and systematized is not necessarily appropriate for arbitration proceedings.⁴⁷

The issuing of an interim measure may be conditioned by an appropriate security provided either by the party who requested the measure, or by the party wishing to avoid such a measure.

c. Comparison with 2006 Model Law

Since Croatian arbitration law was reformed six years prior to the 2006 amendments to the UNCITRAL Model Law, it has not incorporated the extensive approach of the Model Law’s new Chap. IV.A. However, commentators on the Law on Arbitration argue that the new provisions of the UNCITRAL Model Law may be used to fill in the gaps caused by the sketchily drafted provisions of Art. 16 of the Law on Arbitration.⁴⁸

d. Form

It is generally expected that the party ordered to undertake a measure of protection will do so voluntarily (e.g., preserve perishable goods in a certain manner, or sell them and deposit the proceeds in a specific account). However,

46. On the background of this change in attitude, see more in TRIVA, “*Privremene mjere osiguranja u arbitraži*” [Interim Measures of Protection in Arbitration] *Zbornik Pravnog fakulteta u Rijeci* (Suppl. 1998) pp. 713-744.

47. So TRIVA/UZELAC, pp. 131-135.

48. *Ibid.*, p. 126.

CROATIA

if a party fails to do so, the opposite party may request enforcement of the measure issued by the arbitral tribunal by a competent court. The law does not make any formal requirements regarding interim measures. It is therefore not necessary to issue them in the form of an interim award – a simple order of the arbitral tribunal suffices. The competence and proceedings of the court requested to enforce the measure will be determined according to the general rules of the Enforcement Code, i.e., the court approached in connection with the preliminary measure shall act under the same rules as if it had to enforce its own measure of protection.

e. Court or tribunal

In any case, the right of the arbitrators to order interim measures does not preclude the parties from requesting a provisional measure to be ordered by the court. As provided by Art. 44, "... It is not incompatible with an arbitration agreement for a party to request from a court, before or during arbitral proceedings, an interim measure for protection of a claim and for a court to grant such a measure." The provisions of the Law on Enforcement on the jurisdiction for ordering and enforcement of provisional measures remain unaffected (Art. 43(6)). The Law on Arbitration has not changed the previous way of requesting a provisional remedy from a national court. Therefore, during proceedings, the parties may now choose whether they will request a preliminary measure by the arbitrators (who are best informed about the case) or whether they will request the same measure before the competent court of law (what may still be the only solution prior to the appointment of the members of the arbitral tribunal).

The wording of the Law on Arbitration does not distinguish between particular kinds of preliminary measures that may be requested either by arbitrators or by the courts and there is very little jurisprudence so far in this respect. However, it seems that the words "such interim measure as considered necessary in respect of the subject matter of the dispute" could both embrace the measures aimed at conserving the value of particular property, requests for bank guarantees or attachment orders.

There are also no rules for the proceedings in which requests for preliminary measures will be decided. State courts are often requested to make *ex parte* decisions on interim measures. It is submitted that this would also usually be the expectation of the Croatian parties in the arbitral proceedings, since the surprise effect is considered one of the substantive conditions for the efficiency of the ordered measure, especially against such respondents that are known to use all available means to block the proceedings or evade enforcement.

However, the Zagreb Rules of 2002 provide that interim measures shall normally be ordered after hearing the other party, except if the applicant demonstrates that *ex parte* issuance is necessary to ensure that the measure is effective. In such cases, the applicant should disclose all the relevant

CROATIA

circumstances and submit a statement that he will cover any damage caused by a lack of proper disclosure (Art. 26(1) Zagreb Rules of 2002).

6. REPRESENTATION AND LEGAL ASSISTANCE

There are no mandatory rules with respect to the right of parties to appear in the arbitration proceedings without a representative, or regarding the choice of a representative, neither in the Croatian Law on Arbitration, nor in the arbitration rules of Croatian arbitral institutions. Naturally, if a party is otherwise unable to participate in business and other transactions without a legal representative (e.g., a minor), that party has to be duly represented in the proceedings. Lack of proper representation may be a ground for setting aside the award (see Art. 36(2)(1)(b) in fine). The representatives in the proceedings should also be able to conclude transactions, or have to be represented by a proxy.

In contrast, the Zagreb Rules of 2002 provide that the parties may be represented or assisted by persons of their choice. The names and addresses of such persons must be communicated in writing to the other party; such communication must specify whether the appointment is being made for purposes of representation or assistance.⁴⁹

Another explicit legal rule is contained in the Law on Attorneys,⁵⁰ providing that foreign attorneys may represent parties in the international arbitration cases.⁵¹ There is, however, no restriction on use of other types of representatives, and parties to arbitration proceedings are often represented by their in-house counsel or other experts. Often, especially if a party decides not to engage a lawyer, the representatives of legal entities are not lawyers.

Although there are generally no restrictions regarding those who can represent parties in the proceedings, a valid power of attorney is regularly needed to prove the authorization and capacity of the representative. Arbitration rules do not contain any rules on the form and substance of the power of attorney, and according to usual practice, a simple (uncertified) written form is sufficient.

7. DEFAULT

Under Art. 24 of the Law on Arbitration, the failure of a duly notified party to appear before the arbitral tribunal or undertake certain action does not prevent arbitrators from continuing the proceedings. In such situations, which increasingly

49. Art. 5 Zagreb Rules 2002 (this rule, also contained in the earlier versions of the Zagreb Rules, was adopted from Art. 4 of the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules).

50. See “*Zakon o odvjetništvu*” [Law on Attorneys] Off. Gaz. 9/94, Art. 47.

51. See POROBIJA, “Foreign Attorneys as Party Representatives in Arbitration Proceedings”, 2 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1995) pp. 185-194.

often occur in practice, it is within the discretion of the arbitrators to assess whether there was a sufficient cause for the default.

In the case of claimant, if he fails to communicate his statement of claim in due time, the arbitral tribunal may terminate the proceedings.⁵² As to the respondent, his failure to communicate the statement of defence will not prevent arbitrators from making the award. In no case will default automatically be treated as an admission; there is no provision on “default award” in the Law on Arbitration. However, any party’s failure to appear at a hearing or failure to produce documentary evidence shall not prevent arbitrators from continuing the proceedings. They may in such cases make an award on the basis of available evidence. Rules on default are also of a dispositive nature – the parties could agree otherwise. In practice, parties usually rely upon the standard solutions of the law, and the arbitration rules generally treat the default in the same way, also providing other means to prevent delays in the proceedings.⁵³

8. CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE AWARD AND PROCEEDINGS

a. Confidentiality of the arbitral award and proceedings

Although confidentiality has been praised since long ago in the doctrine as one of the virtues of arbitral proceedings,⁵⁴ only with the entering into effect of the new Law on Arbitration was it expressly provided that the proceedings are, if not otherwise agreed by the parties, not public (Art. 23(5)). This is, however, still the only provision on confidentiality in the Law on Arbitration. Commentators argue that such a provision is on one hand too sketchy and general, and on the other too narrow and misplaced, as it creates a false impression that it only relates to the oral hearings.⁵⁵ Arbitral rules such as the Zagreb Rules of 2002 are hardly more precise, and the national privacy legislation does not treat this issue as a matter of public law. Therefore, it is recommended that, if confidentiality is important, the parties, arbitrators and, as the case may be, third persons should enter into a special confidentiality agreement.

b. Confidentiality of arbitration-related court proceedings

If court proceedings for recognition and enforcement or an action for setting aside

52. This is applicable only to cases that are not initiated by the submission of the statement of claim (i.e., in principle to *ad hoc* arbitration only, because in institutional arbitration the claimant should initiate the proceedings by the statement of claim, see above Chapter IV.2).

53. Concerning default of the parties, the Zagreb Rules 2002 do not contain any rules that would deviate from the Law on Arbitration. In addition, they authorize arbitrators to use their discretion and decide, taking into account all the circumstance, whether they will take into account the procedural actions of the parties undertaken after expiry of the time limits (Art. 18).

54. See a typical example in GOLDŠTAJN/TRIVA, “*Međunarodna trgovačka arbitraža*” [International Commercial Arbitration] (Zagreb 1987) p. 84.

55. TRIVA/UZELAC, pp. 187-188.

CROATIA

an arbitral award are filed with a court of law, the court proceedings are generally public. The documents filed in legal proceedings are also part of the record of the proceedings. As regards the right to access the record of the court proceedings, such access is not granted automatically to third persons, but is granted only to those who show “legitimate interest” for insight into the court files (Art. 150 of the Code of Civil Procedure). The decision on the right of access to court files (or particular parts of them) is made by the trial judge or, after termination of the court proceedings, by the president of the court. However, under Art. 307 CCP, the court may close the oral hearings in whole or in part to the public, if this is required, inter alia, to preserve “business secrets”, i.e., the documents and data that have been declared confidential by a particular business.⁵⁶ However, such limitation or exclusion of the public nature of the proceedings may be ordered only “to the extent strictly necessary in the opinion of the court in special circumstances where publicity would prejudice the interests of justice”.⁵⁷

Witnesses may refuse to testify if their testimony would violate their professional obligation to preserve confidentiality. The court has to assess whether the reasons for such refusal are justified (Arts. 237 and 240 CCP). The unauthorized disclosure of business secrets is a criminal offence, punishable by a prison sentence of up to ten years’ imprisonment (Art. 295 of the Criminal Code).

Court judgments have to be pronounced publicly in all cases, but the court may exclude the public when all or some of the reasons for judgment are being read if the public was excluded from the trial. Judgments of the higher courts are also published in the selections of judgments, and some courts (e.g., the Supreme Court) have all of their judgments available on the internet, subject to the anonymization that regularly blanks out the names of the parties and other identifying details of the participants in the case.

All these provisions may help to preserve the uncontrolled access to confidential data and documents from the arbitration proceedings, but, since the limitations largely depend on the discretion of the court that has to balance the right to a public trial against business interests, there is no absolute protection from the “leaking” of confidential information.

56. The rules on business secrets and the procedure of declaring specific data as confidential are provided in the Law on Protection of Data Secrecy (*Zakon o zaštiti tajnosti podataka*) Off. Gaz. 108/96.

57. This condition, inserted by 2003 amendments, copies the language of the Art. 6 of the European Human Rights Convention.

Chapter V. Arbitral Award

1. TYPES OF AWARD

The Law on Arbitration provides that, unless parties have agreed otherwise, the arbitral tribunal may issue not only final awards, but also partial and interim awards. (Art. 30(1)). Thus, arbitrators may either deal with all of the issues at the same time, or separate the issues and rule first on those claims that are ripe for decision-making, leaving the rest for the final award.

However, it should be emphasized that the term “award” (in Croatian, *pravorijek*) is legally defined as a “decision on the merits of the dispute” (Art. 2(1)(8)). Decisions on procedural issues can regularly be made in the form of procedural orders (*zaključak*). Therefore, as already mentioned, decisions on preliminary measures would regularly not require an award (see *supra* Chapter IV.5).⁵⁸ The same would be the case with decisions on applicable law or jurisdiction of the arbitrators – such decisions are viewed to be merely of a temporary, procedural nature, and generally do not need any enforcement (nor would it be possible to submit them to a setting aside procedure).⁵⁹

The term “interim awards” (*međupravorijek*) mentioned in Art. 30 does not relate to interim measures, but to substantive decisions regarding the basis of a monetary claim (e.g., a decision on responsibility for damages, whereas the amount of damages would be left for the final award). Unlike partial awards, which finally decide one or more of several claims in the dispute (but not all of them), or a part of one (divisible) claim, such interim awards are not independent awards. That is, unlike partial awards, they are not capable of direct enforcement, but only when a final decision on a claim (both on the basis of a claim and on its amount) is made. In this sense, a partial award can also be regarded as a “final award” under the legal definition of Art. 2(1)(9) of the Law on Arbitration.⁶⁰ Partial awards can be subject to an independent setting aside procedure, whereas interim awards can only be challenged within the application to set aside the final award (Art. 36(1) second sentence).

58. E.g., Art. 26(2) of the Zagreb Rules 2002 provides for issuing of preliminary measures by means of procedural orders.

59. Yet, since this may also be regarded as an issue of terminology and translation, if the parties would need, for some particular purpose, a procedural decision that is in English entitled “award”, it seems that they could make such an agreement.

60. Croatian legal practice and doctrine distinguish between two senses of “finality” regarding decisions on the merits of a dispute, i.e., the award that finally settles one or more claims in the same dispute (final awards as *konačni pravorijek*) or where the process as such (with all outstanding issues) is finally settled by award (final award as *potpuni pravorijek*, “full award”). The Law on Arbitration only uses the term “final award” in the first sense, *inter alia*, also in Art. 32 (final award terminates the proceedings on the issues decided by it).

CROATIA

2. MAKING OF THE AWARD

a. Decision-making

Art. 28 of the Law of Arbitration provides how decisions (both of a procedural and a substantive nature) are made if the arbitral tribunal consists of more than one arbitrator. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, decisions have to be made by the majority of the tribunal members. If such a majority cannot be reached, arbitrators will deliberate again about the reasons for and against different options, with a view to reaching a decision. If a majority still cannot be reached, the award will be made by the presiding arbitrator. If the members of the tribunal are not in session, the presiding arbitrator may also decide alone on certain procedural issues regarding the conduct of the proceedings, unless this is contrary to the parties' agreement or an agreement among the members of the panel.

b. Time limits

The arbitral tribunal shall make an award when the issues are ready for decision-making.⁶¹ There is no time limit for making an award either in the Law on Arbitration, or in the arbitration rules of the arbitral institutions.⁶² However, the duty to make an award within appropriate time limits arises from the already mentioned general duty of the arbitrators to conduct an arbitration in a speedy manner and avoid every undue delay established in Art. 11(2) of the Law on Arbitration.

c. Dissenting opinion

Both the Law on Arbitration and arbitration rules are silent with regard to the treatment of dissenting opinions. In practice, dissents are regarded as being an internal matter for arbitrators' decision-making, as the tribunal is expected to speak with one voice to the parties. The arbitrators or arbitral institutions usually do not inform the parties whether the award was done unanimously or by majority vote and do not send any dissenting opinions to parties.

3. FORM OF THE AWARD

Pursuant to Art. 30(3) of the Law on Arbitration, the award shall be made in

61. For an express rule stating such a standard see Art. 1(1) of the Zagreb Rules 2002.

62. An exception can be found in the arbitration rules of the CARNET (Croatian Academic Research Network) regarding domain name disputes within the Croatian national Internet domain (.hr) (see <www.dns.hr>). Under Art. 21, the arbitral award has to be made within sixty days from the transfer of the file to the arbitrator.

CROATIA

writing.⁶³ It has to be made at the place of arbitration and it has to be dated. Although both the lack of place and date in the award and their incorrectness do constitute violation of the mandatory rules of the arbitration law, they do not in themselves constitute a sufficient ground for the setting aside of the award.⁶⁴ While the lack of date and place of arbitration may also, with somewhat broader reading of Art. 34(1), be cured by a request to correct the award, this can hardly be the case with awards that are not made in writing in any meaning of this word (i.e., not even in the form of the electronic record). In the absence of a written record, one should assume that the award has not been made at all.

The award must also state the reasons upon which it is based, unless the parties have agreed that no reasons are to be given or the award is an award on agreed terms. There is no specific requirement for adequacy of reasons – this should be evaluated by the courts on a case by case basis. The original and all of the copies of the award must be signed by all members of the arbitral tribunal. However, if one or more arbitrators fail to sign the award, it is still valid, provided that the majority of the members of the tribunal have signed it and that it is stated in the award that one or more arbitrators failed to sign it.

4. JURISDICTION (SEE ALSO CHAPTER II.5 – EFFECT OF THE AGREEMENT)

a. Power of arbitrators

It has already been mentioned that the arbitral tribunal has the power to rule on its own jurisdiction (see *supra* Chapter II.4 and 5). If a respondent raises an objection as to the jurisdiction of the arbitrators on the ground that a valid agreement to arbitrate was not concluded, arbitrators may either rule on this issue in a preliminary ruling, or they may leave this issue to be decided later in an award on the merits.

b. Appeal

As noted above, the preliminary ruling that arbitrators have jurisdiction may be challenged before the court (Art. 15(3) LA). Such a request should be made within thirty days from the preliminary ruling that arbitrators have jurisdiction; however, as already mentioned, while the court is deciding this issue, arbitrators may continue with the arbitration and even make a final award.⁶⁵

63. Unlike the rules on the form of the arbitration agreement, this provision generally requires a “strict” written form. This, however, does not exclude some forms of awards issued and signed electronically, if such a form may be taken as the functional equivalent of the “classical” written form. See TRIVA/UZELAC, p. 252.

64. See TRIVA/UZELAC, p. 255.

65. The Law on Arbitration does not provide for what should happen if the arbitrators make a final award while the jurisdictional dispute is still pending before a court (which may often occur, since the courts are burdened with cases and therefore have problems with swiftness of their adjudication). It seems that in such a case, a separate court procedure on the jurisdiction would

CROATIA

On the other hand, claims before a court of law on the lack of jurisdiction of the arbitrators are not admissible prior to the arbitrators' ruling on their jurisdiction. Equally, if arbitrators have left a decision on jurisdiction for the final award, the lack of a valid arbitration agreement may only be raised in the procedure for setting aside such an award – no prior judicial proceedings on the arbitrators' jurisdiction is possible.⁶⁶

c. Timing of objection

The plea that arbitrators do not have jurisdiction shall be raised at the very latest within the statement of defence in which the respondent engages in the merits of the dispute. If the respondent fails to object to the jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal prior to engaging in arguments on the substance, it is deemed to be an acceptance to arbitrate (another instance of *prorogatio tacita*). Such failure to object constitutes a valid agreement to arbitrate under Art. 6(8) of the Law on Arbitration.

Thus, if objections to jurisdiction are not raised in due time, they would have to be rejected in all proceedings, both before the arbitrators and before the courts of law. However, there are two exceptions: namely, if the objection is raised because the subject matter of the dispute is not capable of settlement by arbitration, or because of violations of public policy, no action or default by parties may lead to a valid agreement or waiver to object, since these two issues may be controlled by courts *ex officio* both in the setting aside proceedings, and even on the occasion of the enforcement of arbitral awards.

5. APPLICABLE LAW

Under the scope of application of the Law on Arbitration, the same rules are applicable to national and international arbitrations in Croatia. Thus, there are generally no differences whether a dispute is regarded to be one “with international character” or not. It should, however, be emphasized that, in contrast to the UNCITRAL Model Law, the distinction between national and international disputes is the more conventional one, i.e., a dispute is legally regarded as international only if “at least one party is a natural person with domicile or habitual residence abroad, or a legal person established under foreign law” (Art. 2(1)(7)).

The arbitral tribunal shall always decide according to rules of law, unless the parties were expressly empowered to decide as *amiable compositeurs* (*ex aequo*

make no sense, and that lack of a valid arbitration agreement could be raised only by an action to set aside the award.

66. This reasoning is based on Art. 41(1): if a claim before the court of law is not provided by the Law on Arbitration, it is not admissible (“No court shall intervene in matters governed by this law, except where it is so provided in this Law.”).

CROATIA

et bono) (Art. 27).⁶⁷ The applicable rules of law are those agreed by the parties. The phrase “rules of law” enables the parties to choose either a substantive law of a particular country, some combination of national substantive laws, or even some other system of rules, e.g., *lex mercatoria*. For the avoidance of doubt, the Law on Arbitration provides that any reference to the law or legal system of a particular state should be interpreted as a direct reference to the substantive law of that country and not as a reference to its conflict of law rules (Art. 27(1)). Regardless of the rules of law that are being applied, the arbitrators have to observe the terms of the contract and have to take into account the applicable usages of trade (or other usages relevant for the subject matter). In practice, contractual terms and commercial practices are more important than the applicable rules of law. Also, while deciding *ex aequo et bono*, arbitrators often seek to find a solution that is not radically different from the application of rules and principles of the legal systems connected to the dispute.

If the parties have not made any selection of the rules applicable to the subject matter of the dispute, the arbitrators may determine such rules, applying the law that they consider to be most closely connected with the dispute (Art. 27(2)).⁶⁸ Naturally, in pure national disputes it will be assumed that substantive Croatian law will be applicable.

6. SETTLEMENT

It is often stated that one of the main advantages of arbitration consists of an atmosphere that is, compared to judicial proceedings, more amicable and less litigious. In Croatian practice it is not uncommon that the parties attempt to reach an amicable solution during arbitration, sometimes with the assistance of the arbitrators. If a settlement is reached, the law provides two options: either the arbitrators will terminate the proceedings by procedural order, whereas the form and enforceability of settlement will be entirely left to the parties, or the parties may request that the arbitrators record the settlement in the form of an award on agreed terms. In the latter case, the award will have the same force and effect as any other award on the merits (Art. 29(1) and (3)).

The arbitrators do not have any power to check the content of the settlement with respect to its appropriateness. However, they must refuse to make an award on the basis of a settlement that would violate public policy (Art. 29(2)).

67. For a comparative perspective of arbitration *ex aequo et bono* see SIKIRIĆ, “Arbitration in Equity – *ex aequo et bono*”, 2 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1995) pp. 125-145.

68. The Law on Arbitration has abandoned the previous practice of determination of the applicable law by application of the law determined by the conflict of laws rules that the arbitrators consider applicable (see, e.g., Art. 38 of the Zagreb Rules of 1992).

CROATIA

An award on agreed terms is subject to the same formal requirements as other types of award, except that – as already noted – stating reasons is not required (Art. 30(3)).

There are no explicit provisions on setting aside awards on agreed terms, but in principle they would be subject to setting aside like any other award. However, since an award on agreed terms is a direct product of the parties' agreement, some of the grounds for setting aside would not be applicable, such as the lack of reasons for a decision (see Chapter V.3, *supra*). Also, from the nature of the award on agreed terms it may be implied that some other grounds would also be excluded (e.g., lack or invalidity of the arbitration agreement, inability to present one's case or falling outside the scope of the submission to arbitrate). Other grounds, such as violations of public policy, non-arbitrability of the subject matter, incapacity or improper representation of the parties could be raised in setting aside proceedings, and – according to the practice of challenging settlements in court proceedings – eventually also error, fraud or duress that has led a party to conclude the settlement.

7A. CORRECTION AND INTERPRETATION OF THE AWARD

Under Art. 34 of the Law on Arbitration, each party may request correction of any errors in writing, miscalculations or other clerical or similar errors. If the parties have so agreed, it is also possible to request an interpretation of a specific point or part of the award. In both cases, the request has to be made within thirty days of receipt of an award,⁶⁹ and notice has to be given to the other party. If the arbitrators consider the request to be justified, they shall make corrections or give an interpretation within a further thirty days from receipt of the request. The correction or interpretation provided to the parties shall form part of the award.

Within thirty days from making an award, clerical errors may also be corrected by the arbitral tribunal on its own motion, without the initiative of the parties. With regard to formal requirements and the communication of the corrections or interpretations, all of the rules regarding the award discussed *supra* in Chapter V.3 will be applicable.

7B. ADDITIONAL AWARD

Within thirty days from the receipt of the award, each party may request, with notice to the other party, the rendering of an additional award if the arbitrators have omitted to decide one or more substantive issues submitted to arbitration, but omitted from the award (Art. 33). The rules on awards will be applicable in

69. This time limit is subject to parties' agreement – see introductory clause of Art. 34(1).

CROATIA

the same way as awards for correction or interpretation. However, arbitrators may not issue an additional award on their own initiative. After expiry of the time limits for both parties, omitted claims can only be decided by arbitrators through new arbitration proceedings. The preceding legal provisions on additional awards may be modified by the parties' agreement.

There are no specific rules on the costs of rendering additional awards. As a rule, the need to render an additional award is caused by an omission of the arbitrators, and therefore it would be inappropriate for the arbitrators to ask for coverage of their costs and expenses on that account. As to the costs caused by the additional award to the parties and third persons, the general approach of Croatian procedural law is that such costs form a part of the total costs that will be borne by the losing party.⁷⁰

8. FEES AND COSTS

a. Generally

The Law on Arbitration expressly provides in Art. 35 that the arbitrators have the right to decide on the costs of the proceedings upon a party's request. This authorization includes the power to apportion the costs among the parties and to order, if necessary, that one party reimburse the full amount or a portion of costs to the other. As a criterion for the decision on costs, the law provides that the arbitrators decide according to their discretion, taking into account all circumstances of the case, in particular the outcome of the dispute. The costs regularly include attorneys' and arbitrators' fees. The decision on costs may either form a part of the award, or may be contained in a procedural order terminating the proceedings. If the arbitral tribunal has omitted to decide on costs, or if such a decision would be possible only after termination of the proceedings, the arbitrators may issue a separate award on costs of the proceedings. Arbitral institutions such as the PAC-CCC have their decisions on costs constructed along the same lines.⁷¹ Thus, the Rules on Costs of Arbitration and Conciliation of the PAC-CCC provide in Art. 3 that the arbitral tribunal will decide on the costs "taking into account the success in the arbitration proceedings and other relevant circumstances".⁷²

b. Deposit

Since depositing an amount for costs is a matter of voluntary agreement by the parties and arbitrators and/or arbitral institutions, there are no legal rules in this

70. This approach does not, however, bind arbitral tribunals, which have, under Art. 35(2), broad discretion in the matter of costs.

71. On principles and practices regarding costs, see UZELAC, "Costs of Arbitral Proceedings", 1 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1994) pp. 109-125.

72. Off. Gaz. 108/2003, in force since 8 July 2003.

respect. However, in practice such deposits are regularly required and the appropriate rules are regularly contained in the regulations on costs of arbitral institutions.⁷³ In the practice of the PAC-CCC, if deposits on costs are not paid in due time, the case may be deleted from the list of cases. Such a case may be resubmitted as a new case, involving, *inter alia*, payment of a new filing fee and possibly some other expenses connected with the recommencement of the arbitration.

c. Fees of arbitrators

Under the Law on Arbitration, arbitrators have a right to request a fee for their services and reimbursement of costs incurred by their participation in arbitration, unless they have issued a written waiver of these rights (Art. 11(4)). In the practice of administered arbitration, the fees of arbitrators are usually predetermined by the appropriate institutional regulations. An example of the schedule of fees for arbitrators are the tariffs contained in Art. 9 of the Rules on Costs of Arbitration and Conciliation of the PAC-CCC. The fees mostly depend on the amount in dispute; however, other elements, such as the complexity of the case, may also play a role.

As to the fees in *ad hoc* arbitration, the amounts or the criteria of determination of the fees would regularly be agreed directly between the parties and the arbitrators in advance. At the end of an arbitration, the arbitrators may determine the exact amount of their own expenses and fees. However, such a determination does not bind the parties unless they accept it. If parties object to the arbitrators' decision in this respect, the amount of fees and expenses shall be determined by the authority specified in Art. 43(3) (usually by the president of the competent state court). The competent authority will make such a decision upon a request either by the arbitrators or the parties. The decision on costs issued by such an authority is an enforceable title against the parties in the proceedings (Art. 11(5)).

d. Costs of legal assistance to parties

As already noted, the law provides that the costs of arbitration regularly include the costs of legal assistance. Such costs would therefore also have to be borne by the losing party. Requests for reimbursement of such costs are almost always made in the arbitration proceedings. If Croatian lawyers participate in the proceedings, they often refer to the tariffs of the Croatian Bar Association (CBA).⁷⁴ The arbitrators will generally decide on the costs of legal assistance taking into account the motions and other activities of party representation

73. See, e.g., the Rules on Costs of Arbitration and Conciliation of the PAC-CCC, Art. 5.

74. The tariff of the CBA is generally based also on the amounts in dispute; it should be noted that the Tariff specifically provides for a possible increase of 100 percent for memoranda in international arbitration (Tariff no. 7, p. 5), i.e., "for every hearing in international arbitration where arguments on the merits were presented or taking of evidence took place" (Tariff no. 9, p. 3).

CROATIA

considered to be useful and meaningful, and apportioning such costs proportional to the parties' success in the proceedings. Arbitrators could also take into account other circumstances of the case and in general enjoy broad discretion with respect to determination of actions that were "necessary" for the conduct of arbitration.⁷⁵

9. DELIVERY OF THE AWARD AND REGISTRATION

The Law on Arbitration provides that awards made under the auspices of arbitral institutions have to be delivered to the parties by such institutions. At the same time, such institutions should keep a record of delivery of the award. In *ad hoc* arbitrations, the arbitral award shall be delivered to the parties by the arbitral tribunal (Art. 30(6)). In any case, regular means of delivery (e.g., registered mail) and the rules on the receipt of written communications will be applicable (Art. 30(7) in connection with Art. 4). Upon request of both parties, delivery of the award may be made by the court or notary public. The Law on Arbitration has abandoned the previous obligation to deposit an award with the court in *ad hoc* arbitrations.⁷⁶ Therefore, an award is effective without any mandatory registration, authentication or deposit, although the parties or arbitrators may, in order to avoid any doubt, arrange to keep a copy of the award in a safe place (e.g., with a notary public) or arrange the authentication of their signatures. The Law on Arbitration expressly provides that the parties may also agree to deposit the award with a court.⁷⁷ In such cases, the court will treat such a request as a request for assistance, i.e., the court will regularly have a duty to grant such a request.⁷⁸ No significant court fees would be associated with deposition of the award.

75. See Art. 35(1) of the Law on Arbitration; see also Arts. 2 and 3 of the Rules on Costs of Arbitration and Conciliation of the PAC-CCC (the costs of legal assistance are not expressly included among other costs since they are not deposited, but the decision arising from Art. 2 normally covers them as well).

76. See former Art. 482 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

77. The courts competent for such deposition would be the Commercial Court in Zagreb (in commercial arbitrations) and the County Court in Zagreb (in other arbitration cases) – see Art. 43(1) of the Law on Arbitration.

78. See Art. 46 of the Law on Arbitration. In addition to the rules on court assistance to arbitral tribunals in the Law on Arbitration (e.g., in taking evidence), Art. 11 of the Law on Courts (Off. Gaz. 3/94, 100/96, 115/97, 131/97, 129/00, 67/01, 5/02) provides that the courts shall provide assistance to arbitral tribunals "if their request is legally grounded, if the requested action is admissible, and if the court is competent to undertake it".

CROATIA

10. ENFORCEMENT OF DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL AWARDS RENDERED IN CROATIA (SEE ALSO CHAPTER VI – ENFORCEMENT OF FOREIGN ARBITRAL AWARDS)

a. Leave for enforcement

The rules on enforcement differ with respect to domestic awards (i.e., awards made in Croatia) and foreign awards. For awards in arbitrations with a place within the national territory, the law provides that the award will be directly enforceable, i.e., no special leave for enforcement (*exequatur*) will be needed.⁷⁹ Leave for enforcement (needed for foreign awards only) can be sought by a separate action for recognition of the award. Alternatively, the court can grant leave for enforcement in the process of enforcement, where the recognition of the foreign award will be handled as a preliminary issue in the proceedings.

b. Enforcement

The application for enforcement will have to be submitted, as in the case of final and binding court judgments, to the competent court. Upon application for enforcement, the court shall order the enforcement, which shall be refused in only two situations. These situations are: if the court finds that the subject matter of the dispute was incapable of being submitted to arbitration, or if the enforcement would violate public policy (Art. 39(1)). These two sole grounds for refusing enforcement of a domestic award cannot be raised if a court has already refused to set aside the award on such grounds or if a court has already found in separate proceedings that these two grounds do not exist (Art. 39(2)).⁸⁰

The competent court for ordering enforcement in commercial arbitration cases is the Commercial Court (*Trgovački sud*) in Zagreb, whereas in non-commercial cases the County Court (*Županijski sud*) in Zagreb has jurisdiction. Jurisdiction for undertaking of particular enforcement actions is determined according to the regular rules of the Law on Enforcement.

In enforcement proceedings the other party has to be heard “unless it would jeopardize a successful implementation of the requested enforcement”, i.e., ex parte decisions are possible if it is regarded that the opposite party, if notified, may conceal the property or otherwise obstruct the enforcement proceedings.

The decisions on enforcement can be appealed, but the appeal in principle does not suspend the continuation of the enforcement. Upon application of the party, the court may suspend the enforcement of the award if an application for setting aside was launched with the competent court. In any of these two cases, the suspension of the enforcement will be ordered only if the applicant supplies proof

79. See Art. 31 of the Law on Arbitration, which provides that (domestic) arbitral awards in principle have the same force and effect as final and binding court judgments. See also Art. 39 LA (Enforcement of a Domestic Award) and Art. 40 LA (Recognition and Enforcement of a Foreign Award).

80. See also Art. 39(4) that authorizes parties to launch a court action in which the sole remedy sought would be in the finding that grounds for refusal of enforcement do not exist.

CROATIA

that enforcement would cause irreparable or hardly reparable damage or that it is necessary to prevent violence. The suspension will be ordered only after the opposite party had an opportunity to be heard, and may be conditioned by provision of adequate security.⁸¹

11. PUBLICATION OF THE AWARD

As already noted, arbitral proceedings in Croatia are not held in public, unless the parties have agreed otherwise. The same principle applies to awards – they are not normally published unless parties have provided their consent. If the parties agree, an award could be published either in full or with certain omissions. However, in practice, certain parts of the awards that contain important legal reasoning, without mentioning the specifics of the case (names of the parties, etc.) are sometimes published in legal periodicals such as *Pravo u gospodarstvu*, *Ing sudska praksa* and in the Croatian Arbitration Yearbook. This is not usually regarded as a violation of the confidential nature of the arbitration proceedings.⁸² In any case, eventual violation of arbitral confidentiality is regarded as a breach of a private obligation assumed by the participants in the proceedings, and therefore it can only be privately pursued by way of civil action.⁸³ Eventual public interest may be taken into account when considering whether to give precedence to the private duty of confidentiality or to the legitimate interests of the public. According to the law that governs the public media in Croatia, there is no violation of the right to privacy if legitimate public interest prevails over the protection of privacy.⁸⁴

Chapter VI. Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards

1. ENFORCEMENT UNDER CONVENTIONS AND TREATIES

a. Multilateral conventions

By succession effective from 8 October 1991, Croatia became party to the following conventions:

81. See Art. 61 of the Enforcement Act (*Ovršni zakon*).

82. On publication of awards in Croatian and comparative law see SIKIRIĆ, “Publication of Arbitral Awards”, 4 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1997) pp. 175-191.

83. Except if the violation leads to disclosure of the data that have duly been declared “business secrets” in which case this is also a criminal offence. See *supra* Chapter IV.8.b.

84. Media Act, Art. 8, Off. Gaz. 59/04. It is disputable, however, whether the same exception of “legitimate public interest” would justify publication of the data duly declared as confidential (“business secrets”).

CROATIA

- The 1923 Geneva Protocol on Arbitration Clauses;
- The 1927 Geneva Convention on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards;
- The 1961 European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration;
- The 1958 Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (the New York Convention); and
- The 1965 Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (the Washington Convention).

Most important among these international instruments is the 1958 New York Convention. As regards its application, Croatia has maintained the reservations originally made by Yugoslavia when ratifying it in 1981. Accordingly, the New York Convention will apply:

- (1) only to recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another Contracting State (reciprocity);
- (2) only to differences arising out of legal relationships, contractual or non-contractual which are considered as commercial under Croatian law;
- (3) only to those arbitral awards that were adopted after the Convention came into effect (no retrospective effect).

Croatia has also concluded over fifty bilateral treaties that facilitate arbitration and promote international investments (see Chapter IX, below).

b. Procedure

The enforcement procedure in commercial cases is conducted before the Commercial Court in Zagreb (Art. 43(1) of the Arbitration Law and Art. 19(5) of the Law on Courts). When enforcement is sought under the provisions of an international convention, the provisions of the convention shall prevail over the corresponding provisions of the relevant domestic legislation.

In the enforcement of the foreign arbitral awards, the court will limit its intervention to the requirements provided in the respective international instrument (e.g., in the case of the New York Convention, whether documents from Art. IV are supplied and whether some of the obstacles from Art. V exist).

c. Appeal

Court decisions on recognition and enforcement are subject to appeal. The appeal regarding enforcement decisions is regulated in the same way as with respect to decisions about domestic awards (for awards made in Croatia, see Chapter V.10, supra). In proceedings where recognition is raised as a main issue, the parties may appeal directly to the Supreme Court (Art. 49(5)). The appeal may be filed within fifteen days from the communication of the decision on recognition.

CROATIA

2. ENFORCEMENT WHERE NO CONVENTION OR TREATY APPLIES

If no Convention or treaty applies, the rules applicable for recognition and enforcement are provided in the Law on Arbitration. The award shall be considered to have the nationality of the state of the place of arbitration (Art. 19).

The procedure is initiated by filing an application for recognition and enforcement of a foreign arbitral award (*Prijedlog za priznanje i ovrhu*). The application has to be accompanied by the award and the arbitration agreement (original or notarized copy in both cases). If the award was not made in Croatian, the party must submit a certified translation.

The Court shall recognize and enforce the award unless the opposing party successfully proves the existence of the reasons provided for in Art. 40(1) of the Law on Arbitration (i.e., the reasons for annulment of the award set out in Art. 36(1) of the Law on Arbitration, or if the award has not yet become binding, or has been set aside or suspended by a competent authority of the country in which, or under the law of which that award was made). The court shall refuse recognition and enforcement *ex officio* if the subject matter of dispute was not arbitrable or if the recognition and enforcement would be contrary to public policy. The Croatian Law on Arbitration incorporated all the solutions of Art. V of the New York Convention, with the additional ground that the award does not state reasons or lacks signatures as required by the Law on Arbitration.

The competent court is, again, the Commercial Court in Zagreb (Art. 43(1)). Like the New York Convention, the Law on Arbitration also provides for adjournment of the decision on the enforcement of the award, if an application for setting aside or suspension of the award has been made to a competent authority. The authority may also, on the application of the party claiming enforcement of the award, order the other party to give suitable security.

The court always has to allow the defendant to reply to an application for *recognition*, but the same right may be restricted for an application for *enforcement* if it could imperil the enforcement. Decisions on recognition and enforcement must be reasoned. The parties may lodge an appeal to the Croatian Supreme Court within fifteen days of the delivery of the decision on recognition. No such appeal is provided for the decision on enforcement.

3. RULES OF PUBLIC POLICY

Violation of public policy is always a reason for refusal to recognize and enforce a foreign award. According to Croatian law, control over the merits of the dispute can be exercised only via public policy grounds (see *infra*, Chapter VII.2.a).

CROATIA

There is very scarce jurisprudence on the public policy issue. However, in theory, in international cases stricter rules should apply, although one may expect that the courts may have an inclination to apply Croatian public policy standards. No court practice in this respect currently exists.⁸⁵

Chapter VII. Means of Recourse

1. APPEAL ON THE MERITS FROM AN ARBITRAL AWARD

a. Appeal to a second arbitral instance

In Croatian practice, it is often emphasized that one of the principal reasons for the comparative speed of arbitration proceedings as compared to court adjudication is because there is regularly no appeal against the award. In preparatory work on draft versions of the Law on Arbitration, some drafts even planned to exclude the possibility of appeals. In the final text though, the possibility of an appeal to a second arbitral instance was maintained, but only if “the parties have expressly agreed that the award may be contested by an arbitral tribunal of a higher instance” (Art. 31). Since such an arrangement is extremely rare in practice, in practically all arbitration cases so far, the award rendered “in the first instance” would be final and binding.

b. Appeal to a court

In Croatian arbitration law, no court appeal is admissible against an arbitral award (understood as a full appeal on the merits of the award by a court that would be a second instance in respect to the arbitration proceedings). The Law on Arbitration expressly provides that, except for an application for setting aside, no other legal remedies in court proceedings may be sought against an award (Art. 36(1)).⁸⁶ This is a strict rule, and thus the parties’ agreement to submit their award to appellate proceedings before a Croatian court of law would be null and void.

85. Regarding positions in legal doctrine, see more in GUMZEJ, N., “Certain Aspects of Public Policy in Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards”, 10 *Croatian Arbitration Yearbook* (2003) pp. 39-98; SIKIRIĆ, “*Javni poredak kao razlog za poništaj pravorijeka*” [Public Policy as a Reason for the Annulment of the Arbitral Award] 59 *Zbornik Pravnog fakulteta u Zagrebu* (2009, nos. 2-3) pp. 225-268.

86. In addition, Art. 41 provides that no court should intervene in matters governed by the Law on Arbitration except where there is an express provision – and there is no legal provision that would allow appeal against an award.

2. SETTING ASIDE OF THE ARBITRAL AWARD (ACTION FOR ANNULMENT)

a. Grounds for Setting Aside

An application for setting aside is the only available remedy against an arbitral award in court proceedings. However, it does not serve to reopen the case and control the arbitrators' decision on the merits of the dispute. Therefore, in principle, neither factual errors nor errors in the application of the substantive law can be used as grounds for setting aside.

As an exception, until the Law on Arbitration, setting aside was possible if new facts or evidence were found on the basis of which an award more favourable to a party could have been made if these facts would have been known or evidence produced in the hearings. Under the present Law on Arbitration, new facts and evidence can only be raised as a ground for setting aside if the parties have expressly agreed so in their arbitration agreement (Art. 36(5)).

Otherwise, the grounds for setting aside in Art. 36 largely follow the text of Art. 34 of the UNCITRAL Model Law. Equally as in the Model Law and in Art. V of the New York Convention, the grounds are divided into two groups – into grounds that may be reviewed only upon the request of a party in the proceedings, and into grounds of which the court may take notice *ex officio*. For the first group of grounds, the burden of proof is on the claimant, i.e., on the party applying for setting aside. For the sake of brevity, they are summarized as follows:

(1) The grounds that can be raised only by the applicant are:

- the lack or invalidity of an arbitration agreement;
- incapacity of a party to the arbitration agreement or improper representation in the proceedings;
- lack of proper notice of the commencement of arbitration or other inability to present the case in the arbitration;
- the dispute was not contemplated by or does not fall within the terms of the submission to arbitration, or contains decisions on matters beyond the scope of the submission to arbitration;
- composition of the arbitral tribunal or the arbitral procedure was not in accordance with this law or a permissible agreement of the parties and that fact could have influenced the content of the award;
- the lack of reasons in the award or the award not being duly signed.

(2) The grounds that can be taken into account *ex officio* are:

- the subject matter of the dispute is not capable of settlement by arbitration;
- public policy.

Violation of rules of public policy can always constitute a ground for setting aside and thus it forms a certain exception to the rule “no control on the merits”. Public policy issues are, as already noted, also taken into account in the process of

enforcement of the award.⁸⁷ However, it is generally argued that the rules of public policy have to be narrowly construed, especially in international cases. Practice in this respect is gradually being built up.⁸⁸ Generally, there are very few cases of successful setting aside of arbitral awards.⁸⁹

The provisions on setting aside are among the very few mandatory provisions of the Law on Arbitration. Art. 36(6) expressly states that the parties cannot derogate in advance their right to contest the award by an application for setting aside. Therefore, the parties cannot exclude one or more grounds for setting aside by their agreement, at least not before the action for setting aside has been launched. The only dispositive rule that deals with the grounds for enforcement is the one permitting the parties to agree in a domestic dispute on an additional ground. Art. 36(5) provides that they may agree that an application against the arbitral award may also be made on the grounds that the party applying for setting aside found new facts or has the opportunity to present new evidence on the basis of which an award more favourable to him could have been made if these facts would have been known or evidence produced in the hearings that preceded the making of the challenged award. This option, taken from the old law, now applies only in an *opt-in* form, if the parties have expressly agreed on its application.

b. Procedure

Pursuant to Art. 36(3) of the Law on Arbitration, an application for setting aside must be made to the court within three months from receipt of the award by the applicant. If setting aside is requested with respect to an additional award or correction or interpretation of the award (see *supra* at Chapters V.7A and V.7B), this date is calculated from the receipt of the decision. After expiry of the above terms, no application for setting aside is admissible, regardless of the grounds. However, public policy objections may be raised in enforcement proceedings in Croatia at any time; thus, after expiry of the three-month period, a domestic award could become irrefutable, yet unenforceable – at least in Croatia.

Deciding on an application for setting aside, the court may, if it finds it appropriate or if so requested by a party, suspend the setting aside proceedings for a period of time determined by it in order to give the arbitral tribunal an opportunity to resume the arbitral proceedings or to take such other action as in the arbitral tribunal's opinion will eliminate the grounds for setting aside. If the award was set aside, in appropriate cases⁹⁰ the arbitral agreement will still be a

87. Besides, if a preliminary issue in court proceedings is decided by a final domestic arbitral award, the court shall treat this issue as *res judicata* unless it finds that the award violates public policy (or deals with issues that cannot be submitted to arbitration). See Art. 39(3) of the Law on Arbitration.

88. Several court decisions on setting aside on the grounds of public policy are currently awaiting their final epilogue on appeal.

89. See UZELAC, "Setting Aside Arbitral Awards in Theory and Practice", 6 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (1999) pp. 55-74.

90. See Art. 37(1) of the Law on Arbitration for details.

CROATIA

valid basis for arbitration. Thus, if requested by a party, the court may in appropriate cases remit the file back to the arbitral tribunal for repeated proceedings in order to give the tribunal an opportunity to eliminate the grounds for setting aside (Art. 37(2)).

c. Waivers

Croatian arbitration law does not provide for the possibility of waiving an action for setting aside. On the contrary, in Art. 36(6) it is provided that the parties cannot derogate in advance their right to contest the award by a setting aside application. However, the wording of this provision (“in advance”) would open the possibility of waiving the right to apply for setting aside once the arbitral award has been made and communicated to the parties. This could, it is submitted, relate only to some grounds that have to be raised and proved by the applicant (e.g., lack of arbitration agreement) and would not in any case involve objective arbitrability or public policy.

d. Awards that have been set aside

The arbitral award that has been set aside has no effect. The decision on setting aside has the effect *ex tunc*, i.e., it is considered that the award has never been made.

The same effect is also attributed to decisions on setting aside of foreign arbitral awards made by a competent foreign court. Under Art. 40(1) of the Law on Arbitration, upon a request by a party, the recognition and enforcement of the foreign award shall be refused if the award has been set aside or suspended in the country in which, or under the law of which, that award was made without further inquiries into the correctness of the decisions of the foreign courts. The foreign court decision on setting aside does not need to be recognized in separate proceedings, as the defense of setting aside of the foreign award may be raised as an incidental issue in the proceedings in which recognition and enforcement are being sought.

3. OTHER MEANS OF RECOURSE

There are no other means of recourse against arbitral awards in Croatia. On the possibility of remitting the case to arbitrators in the setting aside proceedings (not as an independent means of recourse), see *supra* Chapters III.5 and VII.2.b. Some doubts as to whether it is possible to challenge arbitral awards directly by constitutional complaints for violation of fundamental constitutional rights were resolved by a decision of the Constitutional Court that, in a proper voluntary

CROATIA

arbitration, such an action would be admissible only against court decisions on setting aside, not against the arbitral awards as such.⁹¹

Chapter VIII. Conciliation

1. GENERAL

In the past decade, Croatia has experienced a very noticeable rise in interest in conciliation and other alternative means of dispute resolution. This increasing interest has finally led to the adoption of entirely new legislation on conciliation, the Law on Conciliation of 2003, which has already undergone changes enacted in 2009 (**Annex II**). The new legislation adopted many rules from the 2002 UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Conciliation, extending, however, the scope of the law to all kinds of disputes, both national and international, and both commercial and non-commercial.⁹² The other sources of influence in drafting the new rules were the European Directive on Certain Aspects of Mediation in Civil and Commercial Matters,⁹³ as well as various other documents of the European Union and the Council of Europe.

The pro-conciliation development in Croatia started at the end of 1990s and in the early 2000s with several domestic and international initiatives in this area. Several organizations set up their conciliation facilities in those years, among others the Croatian Employers' Association.

The Croatian Employers' Association can be contacted at:

Croatian Employers' Association
(*Hrvatska udruga poslodavaca (HUP)*)
Pavla Hatza 12
HR-10 000 Zagreb, Croatia
Telephone: +385 1 4897 555, +385 1 4897 557
Facsimile: +385 1 4897 556
E-mail: hup@hup.hr
Website: <www.hup.com.hr>

Another provider of conciliation services became the Croatian Chamber of Commerce, which previously had a history of conciliation rules as part of its

91. See TRIVA, "Constitutional Complaint as Means of Setting Aside Arbitral Award", 7 Croatian Arbitration Yearbook (2000) pp. 107-138.

92. The law, which defines the notion of "conciliation" broadly, just as the UNCITRAL Model Law on Conciliation, is applicable to all disputes regarding rights that the parties may dispose of freely.

93. 2008/52/EC, enacted on 21 May 2008.

CROATIA

arbitration services.⁹⁴ The number of conciliation proceedings under the previous rules was, however, insignificant.⁹⁵ In contrast, an amicable settlement was always regarded as a desirable outcome of any arbitration and the parties were often encouraged and occasionally assisted by arbitrators to reach it. However, at least in national arbitrations, the rate of assisted settlements was not very high (as demonstrated by the fact that there were only few awards on agreed terms).

Some programs of conciliator training, supported by foreign donors such as the US Agency for International Development (USAID) took place in 2002 and 2003. There is also increased interest for programs of court-annexed conciliation.

After enactment of the Law on Conciliation in 2003, the government became more active in promotion of conciliation. Some public-awareness campaigns took place, sponsored by the competent state bodies. The main thrust of the efforts to introduce conciliation was, however, directed towards the introduction of court-annexed conciliation (mediation) schemes, which were introduced in a number of commercial courts and in courts of general jurisdiction. One of the features of these schemes was also in the predominant use of acting judges as in-court conciliators, which met with criticism from the private providers of mediation and conciliation services. Nevertheless, the number of court-annexed conciliations is currently by far greater than the (relatively modest) number of conciliation proceedings conducted in the private sector.

2. LEGAL PROVISIONS

Prior to enactment of the Law on Conciliation in 2003, there were no particular legal provisions and only a few rules on attempts to settle before resorting to court or arbitral proceedings. Except for general norms of contract law, the most important were the rules on the legal effects of settlements reached in court proceedings and in arbitration proceedings. For court proceedings, the Code of Civil Procedure provides that a settlement recorded before a judge in civil judicial proceedings (“in-court settlements” as opposed to “out-of-court settlements”) has equal power as a final and binding court judgment. As for settlements reached in arbitration proceedings, the only relevant rules – those dealing with the award on agreed terms – have already been presented supra at Chapter V.6.

94. Since 2002, the Croatian Chamber of Commerce has separated the arbitration and conciliation services of the PAC-CCC and established a separate Conciliation Centre. A separate set of Conciliation Rules was issued in July 2002 (Off. Gaz. 81/2002, in force since 17 July 2002). The administrative services and addresses remained the same. For the contact data of the Croatian Chamber of Commerce see supra Chapter I.2.

95. In the 1992-2002 period (the period of validity of the Zagreb Rules 1992) there were altogether four conciliation proceedings before the PAC-CCC (and three in the 2000-2002 period).

CROATIA

The new Law on Conciliation⁹⁶ contains a more pro-active approach to amicable dispute settlement. Under this new law, a court or tribunal conducting the proceedings regarding a particular dispute on dispositive rights shall recommend the parties initiate conciliation every time it considers that there is a possibility of settling the dispute by conciliation (Art. 16). Similar provisions were also inserted into the Code of Civil Procedure. Under new Arts. 186d-186g of the Code, the court may recommend the parties at every stage of the proceedings to attempt conciliation by a judge-conciliator of the trial court or by a private conciliation centre.

Pursuant to the new Law on Conciliation, agreements to conciliate have a binding force. The court or arbitral tribunal shall recognize such conciliation agreements if the parties have expressly specified that, pending conciliation attempts, they shall not initiate or continue judicial, arbitration or other proceedings during a specified period of time or until a specified event has occurred (Art. 15).

The Law on Conciliation also further specifies the rules on the commencement of the conciliation proceedings (Art. 3) and their termination (Art. 9); on the appointment of conciliators and the obligation of conciliators to be impartial and treat parties with equality (Art. 5); on the service of communications in the conciliation proceedings (Art. 7); on the right of the conciliator to hold separate meetings with the parties (Art. 8); on the enforceability of settlements reached in the conciliation proceedings (Art. 10); on the confidentiality of conciliation proceedings (Arts. 11 and 12); on the incompatibility of the functions of judge, arbitrator or lawyer with the function of conciliator (Art. 13); and on the suspension of the statute of limitations (Art. 14).

Chapter IX. Investment Treaty Arbitration

At the time of writing, Croatia had signed over fifty bilateral investment treaties (BITs), forty-six of which were then in force.⁹⁷ The full status of the treaties is available on the website of the Croatian Ministry of Foreign Affairs.⁹⁸ A list of the concluded BITs and a PDF version of the text of some of them can also be found on the website of the Ministry of Commerce.⁹⁹ The official publication of the

96. *Zakon o mirenju*, Off. Gaz. 163/2003 of 16 October 2003, with amendments published in Off. Gaz. 79/2009 of 8 July 2009. (See English translation in **Annex II**, hereto).

97. Cited according to KRAPAC, “*Bilateralni sporazumi o poticanju i zaštiti ulaganja u RH – pojam ‘ulaganja’, način rješavanja sporova i tumačenje ugovora*” [Bilateral Agreements on Promotion and Protection of Investments in the RC – the Notion of ‘Investment’, Methods of Dispute Resolution and Interpretation of Contracts] 47 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2008, no. 6) p. 1285.

98. See <www.mvpei.hr>.

99. See <www.mingorp.hr>.

CROATIA

BITs is to be found on the website of the *Narodne novine – Međunarodni ugovori* (Official Gazette – International Agreements).¹⁰⁰

Many of the treaties have been adopted by accession to the treaties concluded by the former SFR Yugoslavia. Therefore, there is no particular model BIT currently used in Croatia. On the contrary, the wording of the concluded BITs, and in particular their dispute resolution clauses, varies greatly and is in several cases considerably unclear, which has caused some criticism in legal doctrine.¹⁰¹

The BITs signed, ratified and enforced by Croatia at the time of writing are as follows:

COUNTRY	DATE SIGNED	DATE RATIFIED	DATE ENFORCED
Albania	10 May 1993	10 Feb. 1994	16 Apr. 1994
Argentina	2 Dec. 1994	27 Mar. 1996	1 June 1996
Austria	19 Feb. 1997	30 Oct. 1997	1 Nov. 1999
Azerbaijan	2 Oct. 2007		30 May 2008
Belarus	26 June 2001		14 July 2005
Belgian and Luxembourg Economic Union	31 June 2001		28 Dec. 2003
Bosnia and Herzegovina	26 Feb. 1996	12 May 1997	4 Aug. 1997 23 July 2002 (Amended)
Bulgaria	25 June 1996	5 Dec. 1996	20 Feb. 1998
Cambodia	18 July 2001		15 June 2002
Canada	3 Feb. 1997	11 July 1997	30 Jan. 2001
Chile	28 Nov. 1994	5 Apr. 1996	15 June 1996
China	7 June 1993	10 Feb. 1994	1 July 1994
Cuba	16 Feb. 2001		
Czech Republic	5 Mar. 1996	21 Apr. 1997	15 May 1997
Denmark	5 July 2000	16 Nov. 2000	12 Jan. 2002
Egypt	27 Oct. 1997		2 May 1999
Finland	1 June 1999	1 July 2001	1 Nov. 2001
France	3 June 1996	15 Jan. 1997	5 Mar. 1998
Germany	21 Mar. 1998	3 Sept. 1998	28 Sept. 2000
Greece	18 Oct. 1996	10 Apr. 1997	21 Oct. 1998
Hungary	15 June 1996	15 Jan. 1997	1 Mar. 2002
India	4 May 2001	22 Nov. 2001	19 Jan. 2002
Indonesia	10 Sept. 2002		

100. See <www.nn.hr> (in Croatian).

101. See KUNŠTEK, “Rješavanje sporova prema dvostranim ugovorima o poticanju i zaštiti stranih ulaganja koje je sklopila RH – otvorena pitanja” [The BITs Concluded by Croatia – Open Issues] 47 *Pravo u gospodarstvu* (2008, no. 6) p. 1282.

CROATIA

Iran	17 May 2000	23 Nov. 2000	20 July 2005
Israel	1 Aug. 2000	16 Nov. 2000	18 July 2003
Italy	5 Nov. 1996	6 Mar. 1997	12 June 1998
Jordan	10 Oct. 1999	17 Feb. 2000	27 Apr. 2000
Kuwait	8 Mar. 1997	26 June 1997	2 July 1998
Latvia	4 Apr. 2002		25 May 2006
Libya	20 Dec. 2002	10 Mar. 2006	21 June 2006
Lithuania	14 Apr. 2008		30 Jan. 2009
Macedonia	6 July 1994	31 Aug. 1995	6 Oct. 1995
Malaysia	16 Dec. 1994	18 June 1996	20 July 1996
Malta	11 Feb. 2002	7 Mar. 2002 (Publ.)	10 July 2002
Moldova	5 Dec. 2001		20 Mar. 2007
Netherlands	28 Apr. 1998	9 July 1998 (Publ.)	1 June 1999
Oman	4 May 2004		
Poland	21 Feb. 1998		4 Oct. 1999
Portugal	10 May 1995	24 July 1997	24 Oct. 1997
Qatar	12 Nov. 2002		
Romania	8 June 1994	11 May 1995	30 Apr. 1998
		30 Apr. 1998	
Russia	20 May 1996	13 Dec. 1996	
San Marino	7 May 2004		27 July 2005
Serbia and Montenegro/			
Yugoslavia	18 Aug. 1998	21 Jan. 2002	31 Jan. 2002
Slovakia	12 Feb. 1996		6 Feb. 1997
Slovenia	12 Dec. 1997		8 July 2004
Spain	21 July 1997	24 July 1998	17 Sept. 1998
Sweden	10 Jan. 2001	28 June 2001	1 Aug. 2001
Switzerland	30 Oct. 1996	21 Apr. 1997	17 June 1996
Thailand	18 Feb. 2000	11 May 2000	10 Aug. 2005
Turkey	12 Feb. 1996	18 Sept. 1997	21 Apr. 1998
Ukraine	15 Dec. 1997		5 June 2001
United Kingdom	11 Mar. 1997	7 Aug. 1997 (Publ.)	16 Apr. 1998
USA-OPIC	15 Jan. 1993	3 Feb. 1994	15 Jan. 1993
USA	13 July 1993	21 Apr. 1994	20 June 2001
Zimbabwe	18 Feb. 2000	11 May 2000	

Further references to arbitration can be found in a number of trade agreements,¹⁰² and the protection of foreign investments is also the subject of certain multilateral agreements to which Croatia is party, such as the Energy Charter Treaty of 1994.

102. Examples include Croatia's agreements with the following countries: Austria (15 July 1992 (S), 18 December 1992 (R), 1 March 1993 (E)); Japan (28 February 1959 (S), 28 April 1959 (R), 13 December 1996 (E)); and Switzerland (12 March 1999 (S), 12 March 1999 (R), 17 February 2000 (E)).

ANNEX I

LAW ON ARBITRATION*

Part One – General Provisions

Scope of application

Article 1

This Law governs:

1. domestic arbitration,
2. recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards, and
3. court jurisdiction and procedure regarding arbitration from point 1 of this Article and in other cases provided by this Law.

Definitions and rules of interpretation

Article 2

1. For the purposes of this Law:

1. “*arbitration*” means any arbitration before an arbitral tribunal, whether or not organized or administered by an arbitration institution,
2. “*domestic arbitration*” means arbitration that takes place in the territory of the Republic of Croatia,
3. “*arbitral tribunal*” is a private (non-state) tribunal that draws its mandate to arbitrate from the agreement of the parties,
4. “*arbitral institution*” means a legal entity or a part of a legal entity that organizes and administers the activities of arbitral tribunals,
5. “*arbitrator*” means a sole arbitrator or a presiding arbitrator, or a member of a panel of arbitrators,
6. “*dispute without an international element*” means a dispute in which the parties are natural persons with domicile or habitual residence in Croatia, or legal persons established under the law of the Republic of Croatia, unless the dispute meets the requirements of point 7 of this paragraph,
7. “*dispute with an international element*” means a dispute in which at least one party is a natural person with domicile or habitual residence abroad, or a legal person established under foreign law,
8. “*award*” means a decision of the arbitral tribunal on the merits of the dispute,
9. “*final award*” means an award on the basis and the amount of an individual claim,
10. “*court*” means a body of the state judicial power,
11. “*mediator (conciliator)*” means a person that conducts a separate conciliation procedure on the basis of a written agreement between the parties.

* Translated by Prof. Dr. Alan Uzelac. The Law was published in Off. Gaz. 88/2001 of 11 October 2001 and came into force on 19 October 2001.

CROATIA

2. Where a provision of this Law refers to an agreement or possibility of agreement between the parties on a certain issue or in any other way refers to an agreement of the parties, such agreement includes any arbitration rules contained or referred to in that agreement.

3. The provisions of this Law which refer to the statement of claim also apply to the counter-claim and the provisions which refer to the statement of defense also apply to the reply to the counter-claim, save for the provisions of this Law on default of a party (Article 24, paragraph 1) and withdrawal of the statement of claim (Article 32, paragraph 1, point 1).

Part Two – Arbitration in the Republic of Croatia

CHAPTER ONE. GENERAL PROVISIONS

Arbitrability

Article 3

1. Parties may agree on domestic arbitration for the settlement of disputes regarding rights of which they may freely dispose.

2. In disputes with an international element, parties may also agree on the place of arbitration outside the territory of the Republic of Croatia, unless it is provided by law that such a dispute may be subject only to the jurisdiction of a court in the Republic of Croatia.

3. Parties may agree to submit the disputes referred to in paragraph 1 of this article to arbitration, regardless of whether or not the arbitration is administered by an arbitral institution.

Service of written communications

Article 4

1. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, any written communication shall be deemed to have been delivered on the day when it is delivered to the mailing address of the addressee or to the person designated to receive written communications.

2. Mailing address is the address at which the addressee regularly receives his mail. If the addressee has not expressly provided any other address or if the circumstances of the case do not indicate otherwise, the mailing address shall be the address of the seat or the branch office of the addressee, his habitual residence or the address referred to in the main contract or in the arbitration agreement.

3. If none of the addresses referred to in paragraph 2 of this Article is known, a written communication shall be deemed to have been served on the day when its delivery has been attempted to the last known address, provided it has been properly forwarded by registered mail with return receipt or in any other way that can provide evidence of attempted delivery.

4. A written communication shall be deemed to have been served if the addressee to whom delivery was attempted in the above described manner refuses to receive it.

5. Provisions of paragraph 1 of this article shall not apply to delivery of communications in court proceedings.

CROATIA

Waiver of the right to object

Article 5

A party who knew or should have known that any provisions of this Law from which the parties may derogate or any requirement under the arbitration agreement has not been complied with and yet proceeded with the arbitration without stating his objection to such non-compliance without undue delay or, if a time limit is provided therefore, within such period of time, shall be deemed to have waived his right to object.

CHAPTER TWO. ARBITRATION AGREEMENT

Arbitration agreement – definition, form and applicable law

Article 6

1. An arbitration agreement is an agreement of the parties to submit to arbitration all or certain disputes which have arisen or which may arise in the future between them in respect of a defined legal relationship of a contractual or non-contractual nature. An arbitration agreement may be concluded in the form of an arbitration clause in a contract or in the form of a separate arbitration agreement.

2. The arbitration agreement shall be in writing. An agreement is in writing if it is contained in documents signed by the parties or in an exchange of letters, telex, faxes, telegrams or other means of telecommunication which provide a record of the agreement, whether signed by the parties or not.

3. An arbitration agreement shall be deemed to be concluded in writing if:

1. it is contained in one party's written offer, or if a third party transmitted to both parties such an offer, provided that against such offer no objection was timely raised, and such failure to object, according to usages in transactions, may be considered to constitute acceptance of the offer,

2. after an orally concluded arbitration agreement, a party communicates to the other a written communication, referring to the arbitration agreement concluded earlier orally, and the other party fails to object timely, and such failure, according to usages in transactions, may be considered to constitute acceptance of the offer.

4. The reference in a contract to a document containing an arbitration clause (general terms of a contract, text of other agreement or similar) constitutes an arbitration agreement provided that the reference is such as to make that clause part of the contract.

5. An arbitration agreement may also be concluded by the issuance of a bill of lading, if the bill of lading contains an express reference to an arbitration clause in a charter party.

6. Notwithstanding the provisions of Articles 1-5 of this Law, if a dispute has arisen or could arise out of a consumer contract, the arbitration agreement must be contained in a separate document signed by both parties. In such a document no agreements may be contained other than those referring to the arbitral proceedings, except if the document was drawn up by a notary public.

7. The law applicable to the validity of an arbitration agreement *ratione materiae* is the law designated by the parties. If the parties failed to designate such applicable law, the applicable law will be the law applicable to the substance of the dispute or the law of the Republic of Croatia.

CROATIA

8. An arbitration agreement shall also be deemed to be valid if the claimant files the statement of claim to arbitration and the respondent fails to object to the jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal at the latest in his statement of defense in which he raised issues related to the substance of the dispute.

Capacity of the parties

Article 7

1. Capacity of natural and legal persons and other entities to conclude an arbitration agreement and be parties to an arbitration dispute is governed by the law that is applicable to them.

2. Citizens of the Republic of Croatia and legal persons of Croatian Law, including the Republic of Croatia and units of local and regional self-government, may conclude arbitration agreements and be parties to arbitration.

Power of attorney for the conclusion of an arbitration agreement

Article 8

If the validity of a power of attorney is governed by Croatian law, the authority to conclude the main contract implies an authority to conclude an arbitration agreement.

CHAPTER THREE. ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL

Number of arbitrators

Article 9

If the parties have not determined the number of arbitrators by their agreement, three arbitrators shall be appointed.

Appointment of arbitrators

Article 10

1. No person shall be precluded by reason of his nationality from acting as an arbitrator, unless otherwise agreed by the parties.

2. Judges of Croatian courts may only be appointed as presiding arbitrators or as sole arbitrators.

3. Parties are free to agree on the procedure of appointing the arbitrator or arbitrators, provided they observe the provisions of paragraphs 4 and 5 of this article.

4. Failing such agreement,

1. in an arbitration conducted by three arbitrators, each party shall appoint one arbitrator, and the two arbitrators thus appointed shall appoint the third arbitrator as presiding arbitrator. If a party fails to appoint the arbitrator and inform the other party of this appointment within thirty days of receipt of the notification of the appointment and request to appoint the arbitrator, or if two arbitrators fail to agree on the third arbitrator within thirty days of the appointment of the last appointed of them, the appointment of the arbitrator shall be made, upon request of a party, by the appointing authority specified in Article 43, paragraph 3 of this Law;

CROATIA

2. in an arbitration conducted by a sole arbitrator, if the parties fail to agree on the arbitrator, such arbitrator shall be appointed, upon request of a party, by the appointing authority specified in Article 43, paragraph 3 of this Law.

5. Where, under an appointment procedure agreed by the parties,
1. a party fails to act as required under such procedure, or
 2. the parties or arbitrators are unable to reach an agreement required of them under such procedure, or
 3. a third party, including an institution, fails to perform any function entrusted to it under such procedure,

any party may request the appointing authority specified in Article 43, paragraph 3 of this Law to take the necessary measure, unless the agreement on the appointment procedure provides other means for securing the appointment.

6. The appointing authority specified in Article 43, paragraph 3 of this Law, in appointing an arbitrator, shall have due regard to any qualifications required of the arbitrator by the agreement of the parties and to such considerations as are likely to secure the appointment of an independent and impartial arbitrator, and, in a dispute with an international element, in the case of a sole or presiding arbitrator, shall take into account as well the advisability of appointing an arbitrator of a nationality other than those of the parties.

7. A decision on a matter that is, pursuant to paragraphs 3 or 4 of this Article entrusted to the appointing authority specified in Article 43, paragraph 3 of this Law, shall not be subject to appeal.

Rights and duties of arbitrators

Article 11

1. An arbitrator must accept his appointment in writing. Such acceptance may be made by signing the arbitration agreement.

2. An arbitrator must conduct arbitration with due expeditiousness, act in a timely manner and see to it that no delay of proceedings occurs.

3. Unless agreed otherwise, the parties may discharge by their consent an arbitrator who fails to perform his duties, or does not perform them in timely manner.

4. An arbitrator has the right to reimbursement of his expenses and a fee for the work completed, unless he has waived these rights in writing. The parties shall be jointly and severally liable for the payment of such expenses and fees.

5. If an arbitrator has determined the amount of his own expenses and fees, his decision does not bind the parties unless they accept it. If the parties do not accept this decision, the expenses and fees will be determined, upon request of an arbitrator or of a party, by the authority specified in Article 43, paragraph 3 of this Law. The decision made by such authority is a title for enforcement against parties to the arbitral dispute.

CROATIA

Challenge of arbitrators

Article 12

1. When a person is approached in connection with his possible appointment as an arbitrator, he shall disclose any circumstances likely to give rise to justifiable doubts as to his independence or impartiality. An arbitrator, from the time of his appointment and throughout the arbitral proceedings, shall without delay disclose any such circumstances to the parties unless they have been previously informed of them by him.

2. An arbitrator may be challenged only if circumstances exist that give rise to justifiable doubts as to his independence or impartiality, or if the arbitrator does not possess qualifications agreed to by the parties or if he fails to fulfill his duties specified in Article 11, paragraph 2 of this Law.

3. A party may challenge an arbitrator appointed by him, or in whose appointment he has participated, only for reasons that occurred after the appointment or reasons of which he becomes aware after the appointment has been made.

4. The parties are free to agree on a procedure for challenging an arbitrator, subject to the provisions of paragraph 7 of this article.

5. Failing such agreement, a party who intends to challenge an arbitrator shall, within fifteen days after becoming aware of the appointment of the arbitrator or after becoming aware of any circumstances referred to in paragraph 2 of this article, send a written statement of the reasons for the challenge to the arbitral tribunal.

6. Unless the challenged arbitrator withdraws from his office or the other party agrees to the challenge, the arbitral court, including the arbitrator subject to the challenge, shall promptly decide on the challenge.

7. If a challenge under the procedure specified in paragraphs 4 and 6 of this article is not successful, the challenging party may, within thirty days after having received notice of the decision rejecting the challenge, or if the arbitral tribunal does not decide on the challenge within thirty days after the challenge was made, request within a further thirty days from the moment of the expiration of the first thirty days the appointing authority specified in Article 43, paragraph 3 of this Law to make a decision on the challenge. While such a request is pending, the arbitral tribunal, including the challenged arbitrator, may continue the arbitral proceedings and make an award.

Failure to perform arbitrator's duties

Article 13

1. If an arbitrator becomes *de jure* or *de facto* unable to perform his functions, his mandate shall be terminated if he withdraws from his office or the parties agree on the termination. If a controversy remains concerning any of these grounds, any party may request the authority specified in Article 43, paragraph 3 of this Law to decide on the termination of the mandate.

2. If under this article or Article 12, paragraph 6, an arbitrator withdraws from his office or parties agree to terminate his mandate, this does not imply existence of any ground referred to in this article or Article 12, paragraph 2 of this Law.

CROATIA

Appointment of substitute arbitrator

Article 14

Where the mandate of an arbitrator terminates under Articles 12 or 13 of this Law, or because of his withdrawal from office for any other reason or because of the revocation of his mandate by agreement of the parties, or in any other case of termination of his mandate, a substitute arbitrator shall be appointed according to the rules that were applicable to the appointment of the arbitrator being replaced.

Jurisdiction of arbitral tribunal

Article 15

1. The arbitral tribunal may rule on its own jurisdiction, including any objections with respect to the existence or the validity of the arbitration agreement. For that purpose, an arbitration clause which forms part of a contract shall be treated as an agreement independent of the other terms of the contract. A decision by the arbitral tribunal that the contract is null and void shall not entail *ipso jure* the validity of the arbitration clause.

2. A plea that the arbitral tribunal does not have jurisdiction shall be raised not later than the submission of the statement of defense in which the respondent raised issues related to the substance of the dispute. A party is not precluded from raising such a plea by the fact that he has appointed or participated in the appointment of an arbitrator. A plea that the arbitral tribunal is exceeding the scope of its authority shall be made as soon as the matter alleged to be beyond the scope of its authority is raised during the arbitral proceedings. The arbitral tribunal may, in either case, admit a later plea if it considers the delay justified.

3. The arbitral tribunal may rule on a plea referred to in paragraph 2 of this article either as a preliminary question or in an award on the merits. If the arbitral tribunal rules as a preliminary question that it has jurisdiction, any party may request, within thirty days after having received notice of that ruling, the court specified in Article 43, paragraph 1 of this Law to decide the matter. While such a request is pending, the arbitral tribunal may continue the arbitral proceedings and make an award.

4. The court proceedings arising under paragraph 3 of this article shall be urgent.

Interim measures in arbitral proceedings

Article 16

1. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the arbitral tribunal may, at the request of a party, order any party to take such interim measures of protection as the arbitral tribunal may consider necessary in respect of the subject-matter of the dispute. The arbitral tribunal may require any party to provide appropriate security in connection with such measures.

2. If a party to which interim measures relate does not agree to undertake them voluntarily, the party that made the motion for such measures may request their enforcement before the competent court

CROATIA

CHAPTER FOUR. ARBITRAL PROCEEDINGS

Equal treatment of parties

Article 17

1. The parties to proceedings before an arbitral tribunal shall be treated equally.
2. The parties shall have the right to respond to statements and claims of their adversary.
3. For the purpose of compliance with the provisions of paragraphs 1 and 2 of this article, arbitrators shall, to the extent necessary and possible, attempt to disclose to the parties their opinions and give appropriate explanations in order to evaluate all relevant factual and legal issues.

Rules of procedure

Article 18

1. Subject to the provisions of this Law, parties are free to agree, directly or by reference to any established set of rules, a statute or in other appropriate manner, the procedure to be followed by the arbitral tribunal in the conduct of the proceedings.
2. Failing such agreement, the arbitral tribunal may, subject to the provisions of this Law, conduct the arbitration in such manner as it considers appropriate. The powers conferred upon the arbitral tribunal include the power to determine the rules of procedure either directly or by reference to a set of rules, a statute or in other appropriate manner, and the power to determine the admissibility, relevance and weight of any evidence.

Place of arbitration

Article 19

1. The parties are free to agree on the place of arbitration.
2. Failing such agreement, the place of arbitration will be determined by the arbitral tribunal having regard to the circumstances of the case, including the convenience for the parties.
3. If the place of arbitration is not determined pursuant to paragraphs 1 and 2 of this article, the place of arbitration shall be deemed to be the place designated in the award as the place where the award was made.
4. Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraphs 1 and 2 of this article, the arbitral tribunal may, unless otherwise agreed by the parties, meet at any place it considers appropriate for consultation among its members, for hearing witnesses, experts or the parties, or for inspection of goods or documents.

Commencement of arbitral proceedings

Article 20

Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the arbitral proceedings commence:

1. if the arbitral proceedings are organized and administered by an arbitral institution – on the date when such institution receives the claim;
2. in any other event (*ad hoc* arbitration) – on the date on which a notification of the appointment of arbitrator or proposal for appointing a sole arbitrator, accompanied by

CROATIA

an invitation to appoint the other arbitrator or declare whether he accepts the proposed sole arbitrator, and the statement of claim that submits dispute to arbitration is received by the respondent

Language

Article 21

1. The parties are free to agree on the language or languages to be used in the arbitral proceedings. Failing such agreement, the arbitral tribunal shall determine the language or languages to be used in the proceedings. This agreement or determination by the arbitral tribunal, unless otherwise specified therein, shall apply to any written statement by a party, any hearing and any award, decision or other communication by the arbitral tribunal.

2. The arbitral tribunal may order that any documentary evidence shall be accompanied by translation into the language or languages agreed upon by the parties or determined by the arbitral tribunal.

3. Until the language of the proceedings had been determined, a claim, a defense and other deeds can be submitted in the language of the main contract, of the arbitration agreement or in the Croatian language.

4. If neither parties nor arbitrators can reach an agreement on the language of arbitration, the language of arbitration shall be the Croatian language.

Statements of claim and defense

Article 22

1. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the claimant shall in his statement of claim state the facts supporting his claim, the points at issue and relief or remedy sought, and the respondent shall in his statement of defense state his defense in respect of the claimant's statements, proposals and claims. The parties may submit with their statements all documents they consider to be relevant or may add a reference to the documents or other evidence they will submit.

2. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, either party may amend or supplement his claim or defense during the course of the arbitral proceedings, unless the arbitral tribunal considers it inappropriate to allow such amendment having regard to the delay in making it.

Hearings and written proceedings

Article 23

1. Subject to any contrary agreement by the parties, the arbitral tribunal shall decide whether to schedule and hold oral hearings for the presentation of evidence or for oral argument, or whether the proceedings shall be conducted on the basis of documents.

2. Unless the parties have agreed that no hearings shall be held, the arbitral tribunal shall hold such hearings at an appropriate stage of the proceedings, if so requested by a party.

3. The parties shall be given sufficient advance notice of any hearing and of any meeting of the arbitral tribunal for the purpose of inspection of goods, other property or documents.

CROATIA

4. All statements, documents or other information supplied to the arbitral tribunal by one party shall be communicated to the other party. Any expert report or evidentiary document on which the arbitral tribunal may rely in making its decision shall be communicated to each of the parties.

5. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the arbitral proceedings are not public.

Default of a party

Article 24

Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, if, without showing sufficient cause,

1. the claimant fails to communicate his statement of claim in accordance with Article 22, paragraph 1 of this Law, the arbitral tribunal shall terminate the proceedings;
2. the respondent fails to communicate his statement of defense in accordance with Article 22, paragraph 1 of this Law, the arbitral tribunal shall continue the proceedings without treating such failure in itself as an admission of the claimant's allegations;
3. any party fails to appear at a hearing or to produce documentary evidence within the time limit provided for their production, the arbitral tribunal may continue the proceedings and make the award on the evidence before it.

Witnesses

Article 25

1. As a rule, witnesses shall be examined in oral hearings.
2. Subject to their consent, witnesses may be examined outside oral hearings; the arbitral tribunal can also request from witnesses to answer questions in writing within a certain period of time.
3. Witnesses shall be examined without taking an oath.

Experts

Article 26

1. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the arbitral tribunal:
 1. may appoint one or more experts to report to it on specific issues to be determined by the arbitral tribunal;
 2. may require a party to give the expert any relevant information or to produce or to provide access to any relevant documents, goods or other property for his inspection.
2. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, if a party so requests or if the arbitral tribunal considers it necessary, the expert shall, after delivery of his written or oral report, participate in a hearing where the parties shall have the opportunity to put questions to him and to present other expert witnesses in order to testify on the points at issue.
3. The provisions of Article 12, paragraphs 1 to 6 of this Law, shall appropriately apply to the challenge of experts.

CHAPTER FIVE. AWARD AND TERMINATION OF PROCEEDINGS

CROATIA

Applicable law

Article 27

1. The arbitral tribunal shall decide the dispute in accordance with such rules of law as are chosen by the parties as applicable to the substance of the dispute. Any designation of the law or legal system of a given state shall be construed, unless otherwise expressed, as directly referring to the substantive law of that State and not to its conflict of laws rules.

2. Failing any designation by the parties under paragraph 1 of this article, the arbitral tribunal shall apply the law which it considers to be most closely connected with the dispute.

3. The arbitral tribunal shall decide *ex aequo et bono* or *en qualité d'amiable compositeur* only if the parties have expressly authorized it to do so.

4. In all cases, the arbitral tribunal shall decide in accordance with the terms of the contract and shall take into account the applicable usages.

Decision making by panel of arbitrators

Article 28

1. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the arbitral tribunal shall make any decision by a majority of all its members.

2. If a majority has not been achieved, arbitrators shall repeat deliberations on reasons for each opinion. If after repeated voting a majority still cannot be achieved, the award shall be made by the presiding arbitrator.

3. Outside joint sessions of the arbitral tribunal, questions of procedure may be decided by the presiding arbitrator, unless it is contrary to the parties' agreement or to the arbitral tribunal's decision.

4. The panel of arbitrators may entrust to one of its members to undertake certain fact-finding activities.

Settlement

Article 29

1. If the parties settle the dispute during arbitral proceedings, the arbitral tribunal shall terminate the proceedings upon their request, unless the parties request to record the settlement in the form of an arbitral award on the agreed terms.

2. The arbitral tribunal shall upon the request of the parties record the settlement in the form of an arbitral award, unless it finds that its content violates the public order of the Republic of Croatia.

3. An award on agreed terms shall be made in accordance with the provisions of Article 30 of this Law and shall have legal force and effects of the award (Article 31 of this Law).

Award

Article 30

1. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the arbitral tribunal may make partial and interim awards. A partial award is deemed to be an independent award.

2. The award shall be made in the place of arbitration (Article 19).

CROATIA

3. The award shall be made in writing. It shall state the reasons upon which it is based, unless the parties have agreed that no reasons are to be given or if the award is an award on agreed terms under Article 29 of this Law.

4. The date when the award was made and place where it was made shall be stated in the award pursuant to Article 19, paragraphs 1 and 2 of this Law and paragraph 2 of this article.

5. The original of the award and all copies thereof shall be signed by the sole arbitrator or all members of the panel of arbitrators. The award shall be valid even if some arbitrators failed to sign it, provided that it was signed by the majority of all members of the panel of arbitrators, and that the omission of a signature or signatures is stated in the award.

6. The awards made in an institutional arbitration shall be served upon the parties by the arbitral institution. In all other cases, the service of the award to the parties shall be made by the arbitral tribunal.

7. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the service of the award shall be made pursuant to provisions of Article 4 of this Law. If both parties so request, service of the award may be carried out by the court designated in Article 43, paragraph 5 or by a notary public.

Legal effect of the award

Article 31

The award of the arbitral tribunal shall have, in respect of the parties, the force of a final judgment (*res judicata*), unless the parties have expressly agreed that the award may be contested by an arbitral tribunal of a higher instance.

Termination of the proceedings

Article 32

1. The arbitral proceedings shall be terminated with respect to the issues decided in the final award or by an order of the arbitral tribunal, if:

1. the claimant withdraws his claim, unless the respondent objects thereto and the arbitral tribunal recognizes a legitimate interest on his part in obtaining a final award in the dispute;
2. the parties agree on the termination of the proceedings;
3. the arbitral tribunal finds that the continuation of the proceedings has for any other reason become unnecessary or impossible.

2. The mandate of the arbitral tribunal shall cease with the termination of the arbitral proceedings, except in cases provided by the provisions of Articles 33, 34, 35(3), 36(4) and 37 of this Law. In such cases, the tribunal's mandate will be terminated when the respective decision is rendered.

Additional award

Article 33

1. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, each party may, within thirty days of the receipt of the award, and with notice to the other party, request the arbitral tribunal to make an additional award as to claims presented in the arbitral proceedings but omitted from the award.

CROATIA

2. If the arbitral tribunal considers the request to be justified, it shall make the additional award.
3. The provisions of Article 30 of this Law shall apply to such an additional award.

Correction and interpretation of award

Article 34

1. Within thirty days of receipt of the award, unless another period of time has been agreed by the parties:
 1. a party, upon notice to the other party, may request the arbitral tribunal to correct any errors in the computation of the award, any clerical or typographical errors or any error of similar nature;
 2. if so agreed by the parties, a party, with notice to the other party, may request the arbitral tribunal to give an interpretation of a specific point or part of the award.
2. If the arbitral tribunal considers the request to be justified, it shall make the correction or give the interpretation, which shall form part of the award.
3. The arbitral tribunal may correct any error of the type referred to in paragraph 1, point 1 of this article on its own initiative within thirty days of the date of the award.
4. The provisions of Article 30 of this Law shall also apply to a correction or interpretation of an award.

Decision on costs

Article 35

1. Upon a request by a party, the arbitral tribunal shall determine in the award or an order for the termination of the arbitral proceedings which party and in which proportion has to reimburse the other party the necessary costs of arbitration, including costs of party representation and the fees of arbitrators, and/or has to bear its own costs.
2. The arbitral tribunal shall decide on the costs of the proceedings according to its free evaluation, taking into account all circumstances of the case, especially the outcome of the dispute.
3. If the arbitral tribunal fails to decide on costs of proceedings, or if such decision is possible only after termination of the arbitral proceedings, the arbitral tribunal will make a separate award on the costs of proceedings.

CROATIA

CHAPTER SIX. LEGAL REMEDY AGAINST THE AWARD

Application for setting aside

Article 36

1. An arbitral award may be contested by an application for setting aside in accordance with the provisions of this article. Recourse against an interim award may be made only in an application to set aside the award in which the claim in respect of which the interim award was made was finally settled. No other legal remedies in court jurisdiction are permitted.

2. An arbitral award may be set aside by the court specified in Article 43, paragraph 1 of this Law only if:

1. the party making the application furnishes proof that:
 - a. there was no agreement to arbitrate pursuant to Article 6 of this Law, or such agreement was not valid;
 - b. a party to the arbitration agreement was incapable of concluding the arbitration agreement or could not have been a party to an arbitration dispute (Article 7) or that a party was not duly represented;
 - c. the party making the application for setting aside was not given proper notice of the commencement of the arbitral proceedings or was otherwise unable to present his case before the arbitral tribunal;
 - d. the award deals with a dispute not contemplated by or not falling within the terms of the submission to arbitration, or contains decisions on matters beyond the scope of the submission to arbitration, provided that, if the decision on matters submitted to arbitration can be separated from those not so submitted, only that part of the award which contains decisions on matters not submitted to arbitration may be set aside; or
 - e. the composition of the arbitral tribunal or the arbitral procedure was not in accordance with this Law or a permissible agreement of the parties and that fact could have influenced the content of the award; or
 - f. the award has no reasons or has not been signed in accordance with the provisions of Article 30, paragraphs 3 and 5 of this Law; or
2. the court finds, even if a party has not raised these grounds, that:
 - a. the subject matter of the dispute is not capable of settlement by arbitration under the laws of the Republic of Croatia; or
 - b. the award is in conflict with the public policy of the Republic of Croatia.

3. An application for setting aside may not be made after three months have elapsed from the date on which the party making that application received the award or, if the application is made in a case under Arts. 33 or 34 of this Law, from the date on which the party making that application received the decision of the arbitral tribunal on either of the requests referred to in those articles.

4. The court, when asked to set aside an award, may, where appropriate and so requested by a party, suspend the setting aside proceedings for a period of time determined by it in order to give the arbitral tribunal an opportunity to resume the arbitral proceedings or to take such other actions as in the arbitral tribunal's opinion could eliminate the grounds for setting aside.

5. If the parties in a dispute expressly so agree in the arbitration agreement, an application against the arbitral award may also be made on the ground that the party

CROATIA

applying for setting aside found new facts or has the opportunity to present new evidence on the basis of which an award more favorable to him could have been made if these facts would have been known or evidence produced in the hearings that preceded the making of the challenged award. This ground may be raised only if the applying party could not have used it in the arbitration proceedings without his fault.

6. The parties cannot derogate in advance their right to contest the award by an application for setting aside.

Arbitral proceedings after setting aside the award

Article 37

1. If an award made on the basis of a valid arbitration agreement not specifying the names of the arbitrators has been set aside on the grounds other than those related to existence or validity of the arbitration agreement, such arbitration agreement shall be a valid legal basis for new arbitration in the same dispute. In case of doubt, upon request by a party, the court may issue a separate ruling to this effect.

2. Upon a request by a party, when the court asked to set aside an award finds it possible and appropriate, it shall, after setting aside, remit the case to the arbitral tribunal for reconsideration.

3. In all other cases, a new arbitration in the same dispute shall be possible if the parties conclude a new arbitration agreement after the setting aside of the award.

Part Three – Recognition and Enforcement of Awards

Nationality of the award

Article 38

The award of an arbitral tribunal shall have the nationality of the country in which the place of arbitration is situated (Article 19 of this Law).

Enforcement of a domestic award

Article 39

1. The court shall enforce a domestic award, unless it establishes the existence of any of the grounds for setting aside arising under Article 36 paragraph 2, point 2 of this Law.

2. The court shall not take into account those grounds for setting aside arising under Article 36 paragraph 2, point 2 of this Law for which an application for setting aside was already finally rejected.

3. If an issue arises in court proceedings relating to the existence of a right or legal relation and such an issue was already finally decided in a dispositive part of a domestic award, upon a request by a party and within the boundaries of the final decision, the court shall be bound by the decision on this issue contained in the dispositive part, unless it establishes the existence of a ground for setting aside arising under Article 36 paragraph 2 point 2 of this Law with respect to this part of the arbitral award.

CROATIA

4. If a party to an arbitral dispute has a legal interest in a determination that no reasons for setting aside referred to in Article 36 paragraph 2 point 2 of this Law exist, it may request a decision on this issue from the court referred to in Article 43 of this Law.

Recognition and enforcement of a foreign award

Article 40

1. A foreign award shall be recognized as binding and shall be enforced in the Republic of Croatia unless the court establishes, upon a request by the opposing party, the existence of a ground referred to in Article 36, paragraph 2, point 1 of this Law, or if it finds that the award has not yet become binding on the parties or has been set aside or suspended by a court of the country in which, or under the law of which, that award was made.

2. Recognition and enforcement of a foreign award shall be refused if the court finds that:

- a. the subject-matter of the dispute is not capable of settlement by arbitration under the law of the Republic of Croatia.
- b. the recognition or enforcement of the award would be contrary to the public policy of the Republic of Croatia.

Part Four – Court Procedure

CHAPTER ONE. GENERAL PROVISIONS

Court intervention

Article 41

1. No court shall intervene in matters governed by this law, except where it is so provided in this Law.

2. Court proceedings shall be governed by the rules of non-contentious procedure, except upon applications for setting aside the award.

Arbitration agreement and a claim on the merits submitted to a court of law in the same matter

Article 42

1. If the parties have agreed to submit a dispute to arbitration, the court before which the same matter between the same parties was brought shall upon respondent's objection declare its lack of jurisdiction, annul all actions taken in the proceedings and refuse to rule on the statement of claim, unless it finds that the arbitration agreement is null and void (Article 6), inoperative or incapable of being performed.

2. The respondent may raise the objection referred to in paragraph 1 of this article no later than at the preparatory hearing or, if no preparatory hearing is held, at the main hearing before the end of the presentation of the statement of defense.

3. Where an action referred in paragraph 1 of this article has been brought to the court, arbitral proceedings may nevertheless be commenced or continued if they were already commenced, and an award may be made while the issue is still pending before the court.

CROATIA

Court jurisdiction

Article 43

1. The Commercial Court in Zagreb shall have the jurisdiction to rule on the jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal (Article 15, paragraph 3), deposition of the award (Article 46), application for setting aside (Article 36) and requests for recognition and granting the enforcement of the award (Articles 39 and 40), in the cases falling under the subject-matter jurisdiction of the commercial courts. In other cases, the County Court in Zagreb shall have jurisdiction.

2. A court competent *ratione causae* designated by a separate law shall be competent to carry out the enforcement of the award.

3. Unless the parties have agreed that some or all of the assisting activities are to be performed by an arbitral institution or some other appointing authority, the activities provided in Article 10, paragraphs 4 to 7, Article 12, paragraphs 3 and 4, Article 14, paragraph 7 and Article 15 of this Law shall be performed by the president of the court designated by paragraph 1 of this article or a judge authorized by him.

4. Activities of the president of the court referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article shall not be construed as activities in a court or administrative procedure.

5. Legal assistance in the taking of evidence (Article 45) and the service of the awards (Article 30, paragraph 6) shall be rendered by a court competent *ratione causae* which has territorial jurisdiction according to the place where the particular activity has to be undertaken.

6. The provisions of this article shall not affect the application of the provisions on the jurisdiction for the ordering and enforcement of provisional measures of the Law on Enforcement.

Interim court measures for protection of claims

Article 44

A party to arbitral proceedings may apply to the court to grant interim measures for protection of a claim. It is not incompatible with an arbitration agreement for a party to request from a court, before or during arbitral proceedings, an interim measure for protection of a claim and for a court to grant such a measure.

Legal assistance in taking evidence

Article 45

1. The arbitral tribunal, or a party with the approval of the arbitral tribunal, may request legal assistance from a competent court in taking evidence which the arbitral tribunal itself could not take.

2. The procedure for taking evidence before the commissioned Croatian court is governed by the provisions on taking evidence before a judge commissioned by a rogatory letter.

3. The arbitrators are entitled to participate in the procedure of taking of evidence before a commissioned judge and put questions to persons being examined by the court.

Authentication and deposition of the award

CROATIA

Article 46

1. The parties may agree whether and how the award shall be authenticated and deposited.
2. If the agreement referred to in paragraph 1 of this article refers to authentication and deposition with a court, the court shall complete the authentication and deposition pursuant to rules for rendering legal assistance to arbitral tribunals.
3. If parties so agree, the court shall transmit to one or both parties the transcripts of the award deposited in the court.

CHAPTER TWO. PROCEDURE OF RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT

Documents to be attached to a request for recognition and enforcement

Article 47

1. The party seeking recognition and enforcement of an award or relying upon an award in proceedings shall attach to the request the original award or a duly certified copy thereof.
2. A party seeking recognition or enforcement of a foreign award, or relying on such award, shall attach to his request the original arbitration agreement or a duly certified copy thereof.
3. If the award or agreement referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 of this article were not made in the Croatian language, the party shall also supply a duly certified translation thereof into the Croatian language.

Adjournment of proceedings for recognition and enforcement of a foreign award

Article 48

If proceedings for the setting aside of an award or for the suspension of a foreign award have commenced before a competent court, the court requested to recognize or enforce the award may, if it considers it appropriate, adjourn its decision until the termination of the proceedings for setting aside or suspension and may, upon the motion of the party seeking the recognition or enforcement of the award, subject the termination to the other party's provision of appropriate security.

Decision on claims for recognition and enforcement

Article 49

1. While ruling on claims for recognition or enforcement, the court shall confine itself to determining whether the requirements referred to in Arts. 39, 40, 47 and 48 of this Law have been met, and if it considers it necessary, it may seek an explanation from the arbitral tribunal which rendered the award, from the parties, or from a court or a notary public or other person with which the award was deposited pursuant to an agreement referred to in Article 46, paragraph 1 of this Law.
2. The court shall provide an opportunity to the opposing party to be heard in the proceedings where recognition of the award is the main issue.
3. The court shall provide an opportunity to the opposing party to be heard with regard to a claim for enforcement on the basis of an award unless this would jeopardize the successful implementation of enforcement.

CROATIA

4. A decision on recognition and/or enforcement shall contain grounds for the decision.
5. An appeal against a decision rendered in the proceedings where recognition is the main issue may be submitted to the Supreme Court of the Republic of Croatia within fifteen days from the delivery of the decision on recognition.

Part Five – Transitory and Final Provisions

Repealing of particular laws

Article 50

By enactment of this Law, the provisions of the following Laws shall be repealed:

- Articles 468a to 487 of the Code of Civil Procedure, adopted as a Law of the Republic of Croatia by an Adoption Act (“Off. Gaz.”, no. 53/91);
- Articles 97 to 100 of the Conflicts of Laws Act, adopted as a Law of the Republic of Croatia by an Adoption Act (“Off. Gaz.”, no. 53/91);
- Article 1, paragraph 2 and Articles 101-109 of the Conflicts of Laws Act, adopted as a Law of the Republic of Croatia by an Adoption Act (“Off. Gaz.”, no. 53/91), as far as they are concerned with the procedure of recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards;
- Article 91, paragraph 4 of the Law on Obligations, adopted as a Law of the Republic of Croatia by an Adoption Act (“Off. Gaz.”, no. 53/91, 73/91, 111/93, 3/94, 7/96, 91/96 and 112/99), as far as it regulates the authority to conclude an arbitration agreement.

Article 51

1. Effectiveness of arbitration agreements concluded prior to the coming into force of this Law shall be governed by the legislation previously in force.

2. Pending arbitration proceedings which have not been completed at the time of the coming into force of this Law shall be conducted according to legislation previously in force. Settlements already made will be replaced, upon a joint proposal of the parties, by the arbitral award referred to in Article 29 of this Law. Parties may agree on the application of the new Law to pending proceedings.

3. Judicial proceedings pending at the time of coming into force of this Law shall continue under regulations previously in force.

CROATIA

Entry into force

Article 52

This Law shall come into force on the eighth day following its publication in the “Official Gazette” of the Republic of Croatia.

ANNEX II

LAW ON CONCILIATION*

Article 1

(1) This Law governs conciliation in civil, commercial, labor and other property-related disputes regarding rights of which the parties may freely dispose.

(2) The purpose of this Law is facilitating access to mediation as an appropriate method of dispute settlement, securing the availability of mediation, strengthening the awareness of mediation through promotion of its use, as well as securing a balanced relationship between mediation and the court proceedings.

Article 2

For the purposes of this Law:

– *conciliation* means any process whereby parties attempt to settle their dispute with the assistance of one or more conciliators who assist the parties to reach settlement, without the authority to impose upon the parties a solution to the dispute;

– *conciliator* means one or more persons who conduct the conciliation on the basis of the agreement between the parties either *ad hoc* or within an institution for conciliation;

– *institution for conciliation* means a legal entity or a part of a legal entity that organizes the conciliation proceedings.

Article 3

(1) The previous existence of an agreement to conciliate is not a prerequisite for initiating the conciliation.

(2) Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the conciliation proceedings commence by acceptance of an invitation to conciliate, which shall be made in writing.

(3) If the other party does not accept the invitation within 15 days of its receipt, or any other period of time as specified in the invitation, this invitation shall be deemed to be rejected.

(4) The invitation to conciliate shall be deemed to be properly served to the other party if it was delivered to the address of the registered residence or the seat of the other party, or its representative.

Article 4

(1) The appointment of the conciliators shall be carried out according to the procedural rules agreed upon by the parties.

(2) Parties may determine by their agreement whether the conciliation shall be conducted by one or more conciliators, and who shall be appointed as conciliator.

(3) If parties cannot reach agreement on the number of conciliators or the person or persons who shall conduct the conciliation, they may request that the number of conciliators be determined or the conciliators be appointed by the institution for conciliation or another third person (hereinafter: the appointing authority).

* Translated by Prof. Dr. Alan Uzelac. The Law was first published in Official Gazette 163/2003 of 16 October 2003 and came into effect on 24 October 2003; amendments were published in Official Gazette 79/2009 of 8 July 2009 and came into effect on 16 July 2009.

CROATIA

Article 5

(1) The conciliator shall act impartially and treat all parties equally.

(2) A person approached in connection with his or her possible appointment as a conciliator shall reveal all circumstances likely to give rise to justifiable doubts as to his or her impartiality and independence. After the appointment, the conciliator shall disclose such circumstances to the parties as soon as he or she learns of them, unless the parties have already been informed of them.

Article 6

(1) The conciliation shall be conducted in a manner agreed upon by the parties.

(2) The conciliator shall always seek to maintain fair treatment of the parties while conducting the proceedings.

Article 7

(1) The parties may agree on the method of serving communications.

(2) If parties have not agreed otherwise:

– the communications will be served by mail or by electronic means of communication in accordance with special legislation;

– the parties may be summoned by telephone, telegraph or by electronic means of communication pursuant to special legislation, or in another appropriate way.

(3) The conciliator will make a mark in the file on the service of communications, if there is no other written proof of service.

(4) If service to the parties was not effected due to justified reasons, the conciliator will repeat the attempt to deliver the communication.

Article 8

(1) Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the conciliator may have separate meetings with any of the parties.

(2) Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, the conciliator may disclose information and data received from one party to the other party only with its consent.

Article 9

The conciliation shall be terminated:

– if a party sends to the other party and the conciliator a written declaration to the effect that the party discontinues the conciliation proceedings, unless after such discontinuation two or more parties willing to continue the conciliation remain in the proceedings;

– if parties send a written declaration addressed to the conciliator on the termination of the proceedings;

– by a decision of the conciliator to discontinue the conciliation proceedings, issued if possible after consultation with the parties, after finding that further efforts at conciliation are no longer justified;

– if settlement is not reached within 60 days from the commencement of the conciliation. This time limit may be extended by the agreement of the parties;

– by the conclusion of a settlement agreement.

CROATIA

Article 10

(1) At the request of the parties, the conciliator participates in the composition of the settlement agreement.

(2) The settlement agreement concluded during the conciliation proceedings shall have the effect of an enforceable document if it contains an enforceability clause.

Article 11

(1) The conciliator is bound to keep confidential from third parties all information relating to the conciliation proceedings, except if disclosure of such information is required by law or if the disclosure of the information is necessary for the enforcement of the settlement.

(2) The conciliator shall be liable for damages resulting from the breach of the obligation in paragraph (1) of this Article.

(3) Paragraphs (1) and (2) of this Article shall apply accordingly to the parties and other persons involved in the conciliation in any capacity.

Article 12

(1) Unless parties or other persons participating in the conciliation proceedings have agreed otherwise, they shall not rely on or introduce as evidence in any judicial, arbitral or other proceedings – irrespective of their form – any of the following:

- the fact that one party proposed conciliation, or that the other party agreed to conciliate;
- views expressed in respect of facts or proposals made by parties in the conciliation proceedings;
- admissions of claims or facts made by a party in the course of the conciliation proceedings, except if such statements and admissions are part of the settlement agreement;
- documents prepared solely for purposes of the conciliation proceedings, unless it is provided by law that their disclosure is necessary for the purposes of implementation or enforcement of the settlement agreement;
- the fact that a party to the conciliation had indicated its willingness to accept a proposal for settlement made by the conciliator;
- the proposals made by the conciliator in the conciliation proceedings.

(2) The evidence referred to in paragraph (1) shall not be admissible and the circumstances referred to in paragraph (1) shall be disregarded in any court, arbitration or other proceedings dealing with the same or a different dispute.

(3) A person acting in contravention of paragraph (1) of this Article shall be liable for the damages incurred.

Article 13

(1) The conciliator shall not act as an arbitrator in respect of a dispute that was or is the subject of the conciliation proceedings or in respect of another dispute that has arisen from the same legal relationship or any related legal relationship.

(2) A lawyer who has acted as conciliator shall not represent any of the parties in disputes indicated in paragraph 1 of this Article.

CROATIA

Article 14

The commencement of conciliation proceedings suspends the running of limitation periods.

Article 15

If the parties who have agreed to conciliate have expressly undertaken not to initiate or continue judicial, arbitration or other proceedings during a specified period of time or until a specified event has occurred, such an agreement is binding. In such a case, the court, arbitral tribunal or other body before which an attempt to initiate proceedings is made shall dismiss the action by which such proceedings are to be initiated or continued.

Article 16

During court, administrative or other proceedings, a body conducting these proceedings shall, in the disputes falling under Article 1 of this Law, recommend to the parties to initiate conciliation in accordance with the provisions of this Law, if it considers that there is a possibility of settling the dispute by conciliation.

Article 17

Unless the parties have agreed otherwise, each party shall bear its own costs, and common costs of the conciliation proceedings shall be borne by all parties in equal shares.

Article 18

This Law shall come into effect eight days after its publication in the Official Gazette.